Village Shoppes of
Coconut Creek
Planned Commerce District
October 2018

P & Z Meeting Date:	
Commission Approved Ord. No.	l
Data	

Village Shoppes of Coconut Creek

Planned Commerce District

March 2007 REVISED JULY 2007 REVISED OCTOBER 2018



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	Intro	duction	1	
	A.	Site Overview	1	
	B.	Purpose and Intent	1	
	C.	Original PCD Approval	1	
	D.	2018 PCD Amendment	2	
	E.	Definitions	2	
2.	Appl	licant Information	2	
	A.	Applicant Contact Information	2	
	В.	Agent Contact Information	2	
	C.	Property Owner Contact Information	3	
3.	Site Description			
	A.	Sealed survey of the subject site	2	
	B.	Size and location	3	
4.	Existing Conditions and Previous Development Approvals			
	A.	Land Use Plan Designations	3	
	В.	Zoning Designations	3	
	C.	Existing Improvements	4	
	D.	Environmental Features	4	
	E.	Uses	4	
	F.	Development Standards	5	
	G.	Traffic Circulation	5	
	H.	Open Space	5	
	I.	Utilities	5	
	J.	Parking and Loading Standards	6	
	K.	Signage and Lighting Standards	7	
	L.	Elevations and Architectural Features	7	
5.	Prop	8		
	A.	Proposed Use	8	
	B.	Development Standards	8	
	C.	Traffic Circulation	8	
	D.	Parking and Loading Standards	8	
	E.	Signage Standards	8	
	F.	Open Space	9	
	G.	Elevations and Architectural Features	10	
6.	Analysis of Public Facilities and Services			
	A.	Sanitary Sewer	10	
	В.	Potable Water	10	
	C.	Drainage	10	
	D.	Solid Waste	10	
	E.	Traffic	10	
	F.	Mass Transit	11	
7.	Fisca	al Impact Analysis	11	
8.	Site	Plan and Master Plan Procedures and Requirements	11	

9.	Dedications		
10.	Special Land Uses		12
	A.	Setbacks in Excess	12
	B.	Enhanced Landscaping	12
	C.	Signage	13
	D.	Safe and Proper Access	13
	E.	Architectural Design	14
	F.	Nuisance Abatement	14
	G.	Property Security	14
	H.	Public Safety	14
	I.	Proper Locate and Stacking	14

EXHIBITS

EXHIBIT A Sealed Survey
EXHIBIT B Location Map

EXHIBIT C Future Land Use Plan Designations Map

EXHIBIT D Zoning Designations Map

EXHIBIT E Local Areas of Particular Concern Map

EXHIBIT F Wellfield Protection Zone Map

EXHIBIT G Soils Map

EXHIBIT H Existing Development Plan

EXHIBIT I Development Standards

EXHIBIT J Existing Traffic Circulation Plan

EXHIBIT K Existing Landscape Plan

EXHIBIT L Schematic Engineering Plan

EXHIBIT M Existing Lighting Plan

EXHIBIT N Existing Building Elevations and Sign Plan

EXHIBIT O Preliminary Traffic Circulation Plan
EXHIBIT P Preliminary Parking and Loading Plan

EXHIBIT Q Preliminary Open Space Plan

1. INTRODUCTION

A. Site Overview

Village Shoppes of Coconut Creek Investments, LLC, owns the Village Shoppes of Coconut Creek shopping center located at 4690 N. State Road 7, which is generally located on the northeast corner of Wiles Road and N. State Road 7 ("Shopping Center") in the City of Coconut Creek ("City"). The Shopping Center is located within the Spear Plat and Parcel B of the Alexander Young Plat. The Shopping Center consists of +/- 53,706 square feet of mixed uses located on a +/- 6-acre parcel identified by the Broward County Property Appraiser as Folio No. 484218150010. The Shopping Center is currently zoned PCD. The Shopping Center is "L" shaped and wraps around an existing Chevron gas station at the corner identified by the Broward County Property Appraiser as Folio No. 484218100010.

B. Purpose and Intent

The purpose and intent of this document is to establish specific standards and procedures as outlined in Section 13-355 of the City of Coconut Creek Land Development Code that will continue to guide the development and operation of the Village Shoppes of Coconut Creek Shopping Center. This PCD will result in a comprehensively planned development with uniform and coordinated development standards that are in harmony with the MainStreet district. Through this comprehensive planning process and the resulting development regulations, the City will ensure that the existing and proposed development is suitable in size, location and character for the site and the surrounding area.

The Village Shoppes of Coconut Creek PCD was originally approved by the City Commission on August 23, 2007 via Ordinance No. 2007-011. This amendment to the PCD will maintain existing standards for the Shopping Center while introducing additional standards as referenced in Section 5, pages 8-14, for the proposed restaurant with drive-through facilities on Parcel B of the Alexander Young Plat.

C. Original PCD Approval

The Shopping Center is currently zoned PCD and is governed by the Village Shoppes of Coconut Creek Planned Commerce District as approved by the City Commission on August 23, 2007 via Ordinance No. 2007-011.

One major change in the area that has occurred since the creation of the original PCDs were adopted is the creation of the MainStreet district located south of the subject property. The City adopted a Regional Activity Center (RAC) land use plan designation and development standards for the MainStreet district. MainStreet is intended to be a pedestrian-friendly urban area with a mix of sustainable development. Sustainable development can be defined as the efficient use of land that allows for different types of uses to coexist in relative harmony while providing for various modes of transportation. The subject property is not located in the MainStreet district but the district's goals and standards were taken into account when the proposed mixed-use development plan was prepared for this PCD.

Many of the properties located along SR 7 and Wiles Road in this area are also zoned PCD. The development in the area is comprised of a mix of commercial and office uses. The uses located within the PCD are consistent and compatible with existing nonresidential uses located in the area. Residential uses exist and are proposed north and east of the site, respectively, which are within walking distance of the PCD. It is the hope of the applicant that the pedestrian friendly design and aesthetic characteristics of the PCD development

plan will encourage those nearby residents to leave their vehicles at home and enjoy the atmosphere of the new mixed-use development in their neighborhood.

D. 2018 PCD Amendment

In 2018, Chick-Fil-A, Inc. requested an amendment to the PCD to develop a +/- 4,151 squarefoot, single-story restaurant including drive-through facilities on a +/- 1.09-acre pad ("CFA Pad") located within the Shopping Center. The Shopping Center will remain zoned PCD which is consistent and compatible with adjacent PCD, PUD and B-2 zoning designations and consistent with the proposed development plan and permitted uses approved for the PCD by the City Commission.

Ε. **Definitions**

The definitions applied to the Village Shoppes of Coconut Creek Commerce District are contained in Section 13-355(b) of the City of Coconut Creek Land Development Code.

2. APPLICANT INFORMATION

A. Name, address, telephone, and facsimile number of the applicant.

> Chick-Fil-A. Inc. 5200 Buffington Road Atlanta, GA 30349

Contact: Jason Pociask

Phone: 404-765-8038

В. Name, address, telephone, and facsimile number of the agent.

> Dwayne L. Dickerson, Esq. Dunay, Miskel & Backman, LLP. 14 SE 4 Street, Suite 36 Boca Raton, FL 33432 Telephone: 561-405-3324

Facsimile: 561-409-2341

C. Name, address and telephone number of the current property owner(s).

Village Shoppes of Coconut Creek Investments, LLC 2199 Ponce de Leon Boulevard, Suite 301 Coral Gables, FL 33134

Contact:

Dagmar Rivera

Phone: 954-533-2072

3. SITE DESCRIPTION

Sealed survey of the subject site A.

See EXHIBIT A.

B. Size and location

The subject site consists of \pm 0 acres generally located at the northeast corner of Wiles Road and SR7/US 441 in the City of Coconut Creek. A location map that identifies the subject property in relation to major roadways within five miles is provided as EXHIBIT B.

4. EXISTING SITE CONDITIONS AND PREVIOUS DEVELOPMENT APPROVALS

A. Current land use plan designation(s) for the site and surrounding area.

The subject site is designated Commercial on the Coconut Creek and Broward County Land Use Plans. The land use plan designations adjacent to the PCD are provided below:

North: Low (5) Residential West: SR 7/US 441

South: Regional Activity Center East:

Employment Center

See EXHIBIT C.

B. The Current zoning designation(s) for the subject site and surrounding area.

Subject Site: PCD Adjacent Properties:

North: PUD

West: SR 7 (City of Coral Springs Zone BCB-3)

South: PCD East: PCD

See EXHIBIT D.

C. Existing Improvements

The Shopping Center consists of \pm 53,706 square feet of mixed uses including commercial, office and personal services.

D. Environmental Features

No unique conditions are known to exist within the project site that would interfere with the existing development or preclude future development.

According to the Broward County LAPC's, ESL's, NRA's and Tree Resources Map, there are no Local Areas of Particular Concern identified within the project site. See EXHIBIT E.

According to the Broward County Wellfield Map, the subject property is not located in a wellfield protection zone. See EXHIBIT F.

According to the Broward County Land Use Plan Natural Resource Map series, the soil on this site is the Hallandale Margate Association. This soil type covers a large part of Broward County. In order to comply with drainage requirements, clean fill will be placed over the surface of the site prior to development. See EXHIBIT G.

E. Existing Uses

The existing development plan for the subject site is provided as EXHIBIT H. The existing PCD development plan provides for a mixed-use commercial, retail and office center that accommodates uses permitted by the existing land use plan designation.

Permitted Use	
Use	Intensity
Commercial, Office and Personal Service	59,000 square feet

As previously indicated, the subject property is designated Commercial on the City and County land use plans. All uses developed on the property are consistent with permitted principal and accessory uses identified in the land use plan designation. The principal and accessory uses for each parcel in the PCD according to the underlying land use plan designation include the following:

1. Parcel A of the Spear Plat

- Office
- Hotels, motels and similar lodging
- Restaurants and personal services
- Community facilities
- Commercial and retail business uses

2. Parcel B of the Spear Plat

- Office and business uses
- Hotels, motels and similar lodging
- Restaurants and personal services
- Commercial recreation
- Community facilities
- Commercial and retail business uses

3. Parcel B of the Alexander Young Plat

- Office
- Hotels, motels and similar lodging
- Restaurants and personal services
- Community facilities
- Retail uses limited to 50% of the site within buildings devoted to principal uses
- Bank use as a personal service accessory to the Employment Center uses.

At this time, the development plan for the PCD includes general retail, office and restaurant with drive-through. The permitted uses for the PCD are located on the site in a manner consistent with the underlying land use plan designation. Development conditions referenced in Section 13-621 of the City of Coconut Creek Land Development Code at the time of the adoption of this PCD shall apply to the applicable uses listed below. The permitted uses shall include the uses provided by the Master Business List, Chapter 13-621 of the City's Land Development Code.

The note on the face of the Spear Plat currently restricts development to 56,818 square feet of automobile dealership. Tract B of the Alexander Young Plat is restricted to 25,000 square feet of office use. Concurrent with this PCD amendment the applicant is processing a plat note amendment consistent with the PCD development plan. The PCD

anticipates 59,000 square feet of gross building area. However, Broward County also counts some walkways and canopies when determining plat restrictions. Therefore, the plat note amendment may exceed the amount of allowable development in the PCD to account for the walkways and canopies.

F. Development Standards

The development standards that apply to the permitted uses are provided in EXHIBIT I.

G. Traffic Circulation

The subject site has access to the regional roadway network from Wiles Road and SR 7/US 441. An on-site traffic circulation system has been developed to ensure safe and adequate circulation for both vehicles and pedestrians as shown in EXHIBIT J. The Traffic Circulation Plan identifies a right-in/right-out driveway on SR 7/US 441 and a shared access on Wiles Road that is located on the adjacent plat. The access opening on Wiles Road provides full turning movements.

H. Open Space Plan

The open space areas on the site exceeded the 20% minimum required by the City's Land Development Code. According to the Open Space Plan approximately 27% of the site was being maintained as open space in the form of landscaped buffers, landscaped medians, and building foundation plantings.

The size of the landscape buffers on the perimeter of the PCD was determined based on the adjacent uses and the desire to promote a pedestrian friendly environment.

An element of sustainable development was incorporated in the open space plan by including greenscreen at key locations on the building façades. The greenscreen is a three-dimensional, welded wire trellising system. The panels that make up the greenscreen create a "captive growing space" for supporting healthy plant growth. The greenscreen is included on a portion of the western elevation of the building to soften the façade as an alternative to foundation plantings.

During construction of the residential development north of the site, a landscape berm was constructed on the subject property without the consent of the owner. The berm was constructed of muck and will not support the wall that is required by Code on the north property line. Fencing and landscaping along the buffers provide the necessary separation and security for the residents in the adjacent development.

Entry features were included in the landscape buffers to provide gateways to the development and create a sense of place.

A fountain was provided in the center of the main building that is designed to serve as a central gathering place in the development. The landscape plan is attached as EXHIBIT K.

I. Utilities

All utilities within the PCD including electric, cable and telephone will be provided by underground means pursuant to Section 13-262, Electrical Systems; Section 13-263, Telephone and Cable Television; and Section 13-270, Other

Utilities, of the City Code of Ordinances unless a waiver is requested and approved by the City. Final plans reflected coordination with landscape designs to avoid future maintenance problems, where possible. No permanent structures shall be in conflict with existing water main(s) and/or sanitary sewer facilities. In addition, light poles shall be located so as to not conflict with any proposed utilities or landscaping. All light poles are in compliance with Florida Building Code, latest edition including the ability to sustain 140 MPH wind loads.

The schematic engineering plan is provided as EXHIBIT L.

J. Parking and Loading Standards

Parking design for sustainable development should not exist as a "sea of pavement" that is utilized a minimal amount of days per year; rather, different methodologies should be utilized which provide for the efficient provision of parking. Generally speaking, today's parking regulations have been derived primarily from two sources, the Institute of Traffic Engineers (ITE) and the Urban Land Institute (ULI). These standards typically recommend that commercial shopping plazas have enough parking to serve the demand during the 20th busiest hour for all of the hours that the shopping center is open. Typically, the 20th busiest hour falls between Thanksgiving and Christmas. Using this methodology, approximately 40% of the year more than half of the parking spaces provided would be empty.

The development of large parking lots has been proven to increase the cost of development and often creates disincentives related to Smart Growth. Additionally, having generic parking regulations which are based on 20th busiest hour demand rates creates excess parking spaces that consume land and resources. They also encourage automobile use and dependency as well as the air pollution and water degradation that are tied to excessive automobile usage.

Having large parking lots, with vast amounts of parking is often highly regarded by "Big Box" commercial developers. The existing PCD does not include a large anchor store that would be perceived as a "Big Box"; therefore, the applicant and owner do not need nor want a large parking lot in front of the Shopping Center. Psychologically, an underutilized parking area can indicate to a potential patron that the businesses are not desirable. For these reasons, the applicant and owner have taken steps to reduce the parking field on the site as described below.

Shared parking is a method that allows multiple uses to share one or more parking facility. The Village Shoppes of Coconut Creek proposes a mix of office, bank, retail and restaurants that have parking demands at different "peak" times of the day. Therefore, the amount of required parking is less than the combined requirements for each of the individual uses. This minimum parking ratio is maintained for all uses permitted by the PCD within the overall site plan. The development plan also includes 9' by 18' parking spaces that include a 2' overhang. By reducing the demand for parking through mixed-uses and shared parking and by reducing the size of the parking spaces, the applicant is able to improve the aesthetics of the Village Shoppes of Coconut Creek by providing 27% open space on the site.

The applicant provided loading spaces on the east side of the building as noted on the previous development plan. The loading area is screened from the adjacent property by a landscape buffer.

K. Signage and Lighting Standards

All exiting signs in the PCD shall be consistent with the approved site plan and any sign deviations approved by the City Commission. The owner and applicant requested two primary and three secondary monument signs. The primary signs are designed to identify the shopping center name and a major tenant. The secondary signs were designed to identify the proposed outparcels. These signs meet the applicable size and area requirements. The owner and applicant requested that one primary sign and one secondary sign be located on each of the street frontages: Wiles Road and State Road 7. All other signage complies with the regulations contained in Article III, Subdivision V, Regulation for the Use and Control of Signs, City Zoning Regulations except for any sign deviations approved by the City Commission and any signage described in Paragraph 5A below.

All lighting on the property was been designed according to the requirements in Section 13-374 of the City Code. Light poles have been located so as not to conflict with tree canopy. The poles provided comply with all applicable Florida Building Code requirements. See EXHIBIT M for existing lighting plan.

K. Elevations and Architectural Features

Building elevations are provided in EXHIBIT N. These elevations provided a distinctive architectural design that breaks from the common Mediterranean style that is typical in the area. The architecture is simple and elegant with modern influences. These characteristics, it will appeal to a wide spectrum of the community. The buildings will be constructed with quality building materials such as stone bases, quality window systems that are hurricane resistant and standing seam metal roof that have been more durable during hurricanes than a typical barrel tile roof. The previous plans included features that are pedestrian friendly and create a sense of place including awning covered walkways, room for outdoor café seating and a central fountain. Combined these characteristics produced a quality and sophisticated development that will help Coconut Creek to define its image.

Green building concepts were also included in the plans for this development. LEED certification is not available for retail buildings. However, the following elements were incorporated in the development to promote a sustainable development:

- White roofs on the retail building and outparcels to reflect the sun's rays and reduce the building's energy consumption.
- The landscape plan exceeds the number of native species required by Code. These native species require less water and fertilizer which reduces water and energy consumption associated with the development.
- Façades that face south include metal louvers to reduce solar heat gains into the building thus reducing cooling loads.
- North facing glazing is maximized to achieve maximum day light levels for the building's interior which reduces lighting power loads.

5. PROPOSED RESTAURANT DEVELOPMENT PLAN

A. Proposed Use

In 2018, Chick-Fil-A, Inc. requested an amendment to the PCD to develop a +/- 4,151 square-foot single-story restaurant including drive-through facilities on a +/- 1.09-acre CFA Pad located within the Shopping Center.

B. Development Standards

The development standards that apply to the permitted uses are provided in EXHIBIT I.

C. Traffic Circulation

The CFA Pad is located within the Shopping Center and will utilize the Shopping Center's existing traffic circulation patterns and access points to the regional roadway network from Wiles Road and SR 7/US 441. An on-site traffic circulation system has been developed to ensure safe and adequate circulation for both vehicles and pedestrians as shown in EXHIBIT O. The Traffic Circulation Plan identifies a right-in/right-out driveway on SR 7/US 441 and a shared access on Wiles Road that is located on the adjacent plat. The access opening on Wiles Road provides full turning movements.

D. Parking and Loading Standards

An analysis of the parking supply and parking demand has been performed considering the existing hourly parking demand of the Village Shoppes, the projected demand associated with the currently vacant retail space, and the projected hourly demand of the proposed restaurant (based upon the hourly parking demands at the Deerfield Beach Chick-fil-A). The results of this "time-of-day" parking indicate that the Village Shoppes shopping center will have an adequate parking supply to accommodate the proposed restaurant and the subject shopping center at full occupancy.

The parking supply for the proposed restaurant in Coconut Creek is 55 parking spaces. This parking supply for the proposed restaurant is expected to be adequate to meet the peak demand with additional parking spaces available for the remainder of the shopping center. It is also noted that the proposed restaurant will have a building area of 4,151 square feet. Of this area, 1,951 square feet will be considered "customer service area" while the remaining 2,070 square feet will be considered "non-customer service area". Of particular interest to this analysis is the fact that less than half of the building area will be considered "customer service area".

The resulting parking supply for the Village Shoppes shopping center (including the proposed restaurant) will be 242 parking spaces. At full occupancy, this shopping center and the proposed restaurant are projected to exhibit a peak parking demand of 241 parking spaces. As a result, the subject shopping center is expected to have an adequate parking supply during the peak periods. See attached EXHIBIT P.

E. Signage Standards

Currently, the existing Shopping Center has a ground sign located at the entrance of State Road 7 and an additional ground sign at the entrance of Wiles Road. These ground signs total one hundred thirty (130) square feet. The Shopping Center shall be permitted an additional monument sign for the restaurant as follows:

The structure of the restaurant ground sign shall not exceed thirty (30) square feet, with a maximum height of six (6) feet. The sign area will not exceed 60 percent of the overall structure, and it has been designed to match the other ground signs on the plaza for a harmonized appearance. The additional restaurant ground sign will be located along SR7 and shall follow the design standards found in Section 13-466.6(c)(1)b of the City Code unless otherwise stated in this Paragraph. The ground sign will generally be centered along the frontage of the SR7 right-of-way; however, minimal shifts from the center of

the right-of-way will be allowed to provide clearance from trees, utility lines, easements or other existing structures and visibility hindrances.

In addition, the Project is seeking an additional menu board sign due to the unique nature of this restaurant business. While many fast food restaurants have a single point of order for the drive-through facility, this restaurant uses two (2) lanes and ordering points for a more efficient drive-through experience. The lanes then merge into a single lane for the order pickup window. Each ordering point requires a separate menu board so that customers can easily identify the restaurant offerings while placing their orders. Therefore, the restaurant shall be permitted to have two menu board signs that are site-specific as part of the PCD and specifically permitted as follows:

- One (1) digital drive-up service lane status signs may be permitted per drive-through service lane
- Shall be single-faced only
- Maximum height of the sign above grade is seven (7) feet
- Maximum horizontal dimension is eight (8) feet; and,
- Shall comply with section 13-374, "outdoor lighting"

F. Open Space Plan

The applicant proposes a unique set of open space areas that exceed the 20% minimum required by the City's Land Development Code. According to the Open Space Plan approximately 28% of the site will be maintained as open space in the form of landscaped buffers, landscaped medians, and building foundation plantings. EXHIBIT Q depicts the proposed open space program for the subject property.

G. Elevations and Architectural Features

Utility hardware on the building (gutters and drains) may be visible from public rights-of-way due to the fact that the gutters on all three towers of the restaurant will appear like trim from ground level, and match the soffit and roof colors, so they are barely perceivable from pedestrian vantage point. The downspouts for the two small towers are at the middle of the rear side of the towers and are not visible at all from pedestrian's vantage point. The downspout at the rear side of the large tower is visible from some vantage points as shown on A-1.1 Perspective View from East and Perspective View from West, but it will be camouflaged by placement between two decorative brackets and it's painted to match the surrounding stucco. Channeling water through these gutters and downspouts will help the walls and base of the building weather better than allowing roof water to discharge through open scuppers or to drip freely from the tower roofs.

The auxiliary structures will match the architectural design of the principal building for a harmonized, aesthetically pleasing presentation of the restaurant.

The restaurant has the following architectural green features:

- Indoor water use reduction of 45% through fixtures and process equipment
- All building systems commissioned for effectiveness prior to building turnover
- Specified Energy Star equipment
- Com-check reports for energy and building skin performance
- Low-E, insulated high performance glazing to reduce solar heat gain
- LED interior light fixtures
- Minimum 50% certified FSC accredited wood
- Low emitting VOC flooring and paint materials
- Prioritize materials with recycled content

 Controllability of lighting and thermal comfort in administrative spaces to enhance productivity

- Enhanced daylighting through increased fenestration
- Sound transmission considerations between back of house, restrooms and restaurant space

The restaurant will have the National Wildlife Federation (NWF) Certification throughout the span of this Project, which will provide food, water, and a place for local wildlife to raise their young.

6. ANALYSIS OF PUBLIC FACILITIES AND SERVICES

A. Sanitary Sewer Analysis

The subject site is serviced by the Broward County Office of Environmental Services (BCOES). The North Regional Wastewater Treatment Plant (NRWWTP) provides sanitary sewer service to the subject site. A schematic engineering plan that depicts the sewer connection points and a preliminary layout of the sewer lines serving the site is provided as EXHIBIT L. There is an existing sewer main located on the northeast corner of the adjacent gas station site that can serve this development. No sewer lateral line servicing the restaurant site will be longer than 150-feet.

B. Potable Water Analysis

The BCOES Broward County Water District II Water Treatment Plant serves the subject property. The plant is located at 1390 NE 51st St., Pompano Beach, Florida. A schematic engineering plan that depicts the potable water connection points and a preliminary layout of the potable water lines serving the site is provided as EXHIBIT L. The property is served by an 8-inch water main located east of the subject site and a 12" water main located along SR 7.

C. Drainage Analysis

The subject property lies within the Wiles Road sub-basin of the Cocomar Water Control District. The schematic engineering plan identifies a drainage stub-out located adjacent to this property that was constructed in conjunction with the improvement to Wiles Road specifically to serve this property. Dry retention areas are shown on EXHIBIT **L** and are intended to meet the requirements of the Cocomar Water Control System Master Permit.

D. Solid Waste Analysis

Republic Services provides waste collection service in the City. Dumpster locations are provided on the Development Plan, EXHIBIT H.

E. Traffic Analysis

The subject property was evaluated for traffic concurrency as part of the Wiles Road improvement agreement and also during the platting process. As part of the Wiles Road agreement, former owners of the property donated right-of-way to the County for the construction of Wiles Road and paid their fair share of the improvement costs. In exchange, the owners received transportation concurrency vesting for a specific amount of development.

The owner and applicant will be processing a plat note amendment for the Alexander-Young Plat in conjunction with the PCD approval to accommodate the proposed restaurant use. Broward County will review the proposed plat note amendment to determine if the proposed development plan exceeds the number of trips that were vested for the property under the Wiles Road agreement. The subject property is located within a Transit Oriented Concurrency area. If necessary, the applicant will pay the appropriate Transit Oriented Concurrency fees to mitigate any traffic impacts of the proposed development plan that were not vested under the Wiles Road improvement agreement or the previous plat approvals.

F. Mass Transit Analysis

Broward County Transit Route 18 travels State Road 7. According to the Broward County Transit ("BCT") website, Route 18 runs continuously between 5:45 a.m. and 10:00 p.m. at 15-minute intervals on weekdays and 30-minute intervals on weekends. A bus bay is provided along SR 7 adjacent to the site. Development of the site will increase the number of potential mass transit trips generated by the subject property. However, there is adequate capacity in Route 18 to accommodate the additional rides.

The City of Coconut Creek also provides local bus service that interfaces with Broward County Transit. Specifically, the City's S route connects to Route 18. The S route serves the Township, Centurea Park and South Creek developments. Residents of these developments and others have transit access to this site via Broward County and City transit routes.

The site plan provides safe pedestrian connections to SR7 and Wiles Road to encourage mass transit ridership to and from the site.

7. FISCAL IMPACT ANALYSIS

The development of Village Shoppes of Coconut Creek will increase the tax base to the City of Coconut Creek. The current millage rate for the City of Coconut Creek is 5.3408. The total millage rate for all taxing entities including the City of Coconut Creek is 22.2501. Based on the estimated value of the building and land of approximately \$13 million, the City's estimated ad valorem tax benefit will be approximately \$69,430. The estimated tax benefit for other governmental entities would be approximately \$219,821.

8. SITE PLAN AND MASTER PLAN PROCEDURES AND REQUIREMENTS

Proposed site plans for specific building shall be reviewed by the City's Sustainable Development Department and approved by the City Planning and Zoning Board and/or City Commission pursuant to the development standards and permitted uses provided for in this PCD document.

The procedures and requirements for Site Plan approval are as follows:

- 1. All information required by Article III, Division 5, Site Plan Review Requirements of the City Zoning Regulations shall be prepared for the area of the Building Parcel.
- 2. A common architectural theme shall be incorporated in all buildings throughout the project.

Any site plan amendments that fall within the criteria below shall not require amendment to the PCD.

- 3. The modification does not substantially alter the intent and character of an approved site plan;
- 4. Any additional structures contemplated by any modification shall clearly be accessory to a principal use or structure;
- 5. Any modification shall not generate additional off-street parking or intrude into approved off-street parking areas;
- 6. Any modification shall not substantially alter approved on- or off-site schematic engineering.
- 7. Enhancement landscape plans that do not substantially alter the intent and character of an approved landscape plan.

9. DEDICATIONS

Any land dedications or easements have been provided on the Spear Plat and the Alexander-Young Plat. Any additional dedications required by the City, County or other governmental entities will be provided by separate instrument.

10. SPECIAL LAND USES

The owner and applicant propose a restaurant with a drive-through facility on the site. The analysis below is provided to demonstrate the steps that have been taken to ensure that these uses are consistent and compatible with the surrounding properties and zoning districts.

A. Setbacks in Excess of Those Required

The required PCD setback is 25'. Additional setbacks have been provided for the restaurant. The approximate setbacks measured from the boundary of the PCD are as follows:

	Restaurant 1 Setback
North	95.5'
South	60'
East	375'
West	103.3'

B. Landscaping

The proposed landscape plan includes a number of enhanced features to create an attractive site – and in line with the City of Coconut Creek's goals to improve the tree canopy presence around the City. Overall, the proposed landscape plan provides approximately 28% open space throughout the site which exceeds the 20% open space requirement of the PCD regulations.

The plan incorporates a landscaped patio on the restaurant parcel which will provide for an attractive and inviting meeting and gathering area on the site.

In order to ensure adequate pedestrian and vehicular circulation around the site, and adequate parking for the benefit of both the restaurant use and the overall shopping plaza, the foundation plantings were reduced around the principal building. Instead of 10-feet as required by code Section 13-443(10)a, the building is being provided with planting areas to the west, east and north of the building of approximately 3.5 to 5 feet in width. These planting areas will have drought-resistant ground cover and vegetation to complement the architectural design of the main building.

In order to adequately address the parking needs for the overall plaza uses, the restaurant also made some site-specific modifications to the landscape island, and end-cap island design. Landscape islands were reduced to a minimum of 7.3 feet, instead of 12 feet as normally required by Section 13-443(12)a of the code; this is a modest improvement from the existing landscape islands on the plaza but will allow for the adequate planting of Florida friendly vegetation on the site. Further, there is a maximum number of 12 parking spaces without a landscape island on the restaurant site. In order to compensate for these landscape modifications, most of the end-cap islands were enlarged to fit improved, mature vegetation. The average length of end cap islands on the restaurant site is 16 feet, with the smallest one measuring 11.5 feet.

The restaurant also designed the landscaping on its site to create a tiered effect where possible. If space was too limited, or if the site layout did not allow for the full-tiered effect, the landscaping elements were incorporated in separate, parallel planting sections as an alternative type of tier design.

Pursuant to Code Section 13-443, 50% of all plant material shall consist of native species; the restaurant parcel will exceed the native vegetation for trees as well as shrubs and groundcover. The north and east side of the restaurant site exhibits a continuous hedge at a minimum of 24 inches in height at the time of planting.

C. Signage Consistent with Approved Master Sign Plans and Appropriate City Sign Codes

A master sign plan is included with this PCD and site plan applications. The proposed signage for the restaurant is included in this master sign plan.

D. Safe and Proper Access

The subject site is located adjacent to Wiles Road and SR 7. Access to the site is provided from both of these roadways. This site does not have direct access to any City streets. Therefore, the proposed uses will not have a negative impact on local streets in the area. Access to the site was determined at the time the property was platted. The owner and applicant are utilizing, the existing platted openings for safe and proper access to the site.

Comments were provided from the Development Review Committee on the original site plan related to internal vehicular and pedestrian circulation on the site. All of the requested changes have been made to improve vehicular circulation and safety on the site. The owner and applicant have also provided for safe pedestrian access to the site and within the site. Trellises have been added to the pedestrian access points to create gateways to the development and enhance the esthetics of the site design.

E. Consistent and Acceptable Esthetic and Architectural Design

In order to provide a consistent architectural theme throughout the development, the architectural design of the buildings include similar building materials and colors that are utilized throughout the Shopping Center. These elevations provide a distinctive architectural design that is simple and elegant with modern influences. With these characteristics, it will appeal to a wide spectrum of the community.

F. Nuisance Abatement (noise, odor, visual)

The proposed restaurant drive-through facilities are consistent and compatible with those existing uses in the area and they will not create excessive noise, glare, smoke, odor or other undesirable impacts that would be a nuisance to the community. The proposed uses shall comply will all nuisance abatement regulations of the City Code.

G. Proper Security Measures.

On-site security measures will be implemented to protect the patrons of these facilities. These measures include property lighting, access control devices, and inventory control devices that deter crime and reduce the need for public safety services on the site.

H. Public Safety Issues (lighting, flammability, hours of operation)

A complete lighting plan is included with the proposed site plan. The lighting plan meets the code requirements of the City and also includes light shields along the entire perimeter of the site.

The restaurant drive-through facilities are not anticipated to utilize any flammable or hazardous materials other than those that would typically be associated with any of the permitted uses in the PCD.

A variety of retail and commercial uses exist in the surrounding area with similar functions and hours of operation as the proposed uses. Therefore, these uses will not disturb the operation of the other retailers in the area.

For these reasons, the proposed drive-through facilities will not demand greater municipal public safety services than that resulting from any of the other uses permitted in the PCD or the surrounding area.

I. Proper location and stacking capability of any drive-through facility.

The restaurant drive-through facilities have undergone thorough site plan review and revisions have been made to the site plan as requested by the Planning and Engineering staffs in order to ensure that the drive-through facilities are properly located on the site and that there is adequate stacking capability for each facility.

SKE'

AND TITLE SURVEY

that a A-1, 8 and 8-1, or sylve plat, according to the plat behedt, as records of plat book The pack 82, of the public records of behinang county, fedical AND EXCEPT THE FOLLOWING DESCRIBED PARKETS, CONFICION TO SHOWARD COUNTRY OF THE WARRANTY OFCIO MICHAEL ADDRESS SHOW 42509, PARK 1872 OF THE PUBLIC RECEIVEDS OF BRIDINARY COUNTRY, DA.

A POTEN OF TOLET 8—1, SPEAL FLAT, ACCORDING TO THE FLAT THORSOY, AS RECORDED IN FLAT BOOK STR. FACE OF THE PLATES RECORDS OF BECHAND COUNTY, REDUDA, MONE PARTICULARLY DECEMBED AS TOLEDISE

NOTC: THE BEADWICE SHOWN HEIGH ARE BAZED ON AN ASSUMED BEADWIG THE EAST BOUNDARY OF SAID TRACT B-1 IS ASSUMED TO BEAR NOTCH OCICES NEST. אים ראימפ גאאס זא נאכן מעל מב בסכסיים בוכסל האסיימים בסצאנגל עלסיביץ

PARCEL 1 AND PARCEL 2 ALSO BEING FULLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS: TACE IN, OF THE ALEXANDER—TOURD PLAT, ACCORDING TO THE PLAT THOSESE AS RECEIVED AN PLAT BOOK 164, NACE 10 OF SAID PUBLIC RECEIVES.

SAI LINES LYNE M SIE CITY OF COCCUUT DECOL BROWNING COUNTY, FLORIDA AND CONTURNO STATION SOLME TEXT (FLORY ACRES) MORE OF LESS.

THE PROJECT INCOMED HOUSE IS SOURCE TO THE POLICIMENT. RETINE CONSTRUCTION SHOWN ON MOTED REFLECT AND DESCRIPTION OF SECTION 2 OF DE CINCADO TILLE MARIANCE CIDEMA DE MONTAGET (OR DITE CITAL CHARLES SECTION) COMMINOTI MANDO. MANANA COMMENSA DITECTION DATO SEPTEMBER SA, 2004 € 11:00 P.M.

THE LAB RETWINING CONTINES, RECEIVANCE, L'ADILITÉ AND RIGH MATIDE CONTINENT ON 15, PAUT OF STALL PAUT ALCORET DON 15, PAUT 73 AND TITLA. RECORRE DON 15, PAUT 74 AND TITLA. RECORRE DON 15, PAUT 74 AND TITLA. RECORRE DON 15, PAUT 75 AND TITLA. RECORD TO THE TEXT PAUT 75 AND TITLA. THE TEXT PAUT 75 A

THE JOB CHANNESS, CONSIDER, CHEMICAS, LUCKING, AND CHAIR AND CHAIR CHANNES CONTINUED CHAIR FALL OF ACCURATE CHAIR CHAIR AND CH DIA RIE (1905 ACCER, DAMAIC MO VILLIY L'ALADIT REDAÇIA II OTICA, REDIES SOCI ETÀTA PICE OLI, DOI CUTARAD ETÀ DIA TIMO DIAS, CHICADIAS, RESTANDOS, L'ASDOCKO AND ORGI LATRICI SEVIAND DI TIMO PICE PILI DI TIMO DI CONTROLLI SALO J POST ÇII NET MAI, AR RESTANDO II RITATI OR RATI AND ORCI LA PICE SI, CIPE MOST, OF TANDI ANADOLLIY (TOTAL OF THE PARTAINS) OF PROMISE OF MAD UNITED TEXTORED BESTORE B. 1867 M OFFICE, RECORDS SOCI LIGHTOFF OF THE PARTAIN OF THE PARTAIN PROPERTY OF THE PARTAIN THE DECEMBER B. 1867 M OFFICE, RECORDS TAL PART, (FIT). (COMMANDLES POLYCIED HOUSE) NAME AND EXTERNAL BY THE CITY COMMISSION OF CHICARCT DELICE, RECORDED IN INTELL, ALCOHOL SECRET, DET AND EXTERNAL PART SEC. (SET AN OFFICIAL ACCORDES SECRET, PART SEC, (SET AN OFFICIAL ACCORDES SECRET, PART SEC, (SET AN OFFICIAL ACCORDES SECRET, A SECRET, A CORDER DE COMMISSION & 1887 IN OFFICIAL ACCORDES SECRET, ACCORDES SECRET, A SECRET, A CORDER SECRET, A SECRE

SEE SHEET

 ω

FOR BOUNDARY AND LOCATION SURVEY FOR TOPOGRAPHIC AND TREE LOCATION SURVEY

) DE MOTION ROCKHIED PROFETIT IS LOCATED IN TLOCO ZONG TO, ACCEDENCE TO DIE STOCHAL CHARGONY MANCHANT ROCK PROMINE AND MANCHANT ROCK ROCK ROCKED PROMINE AND MANCHANT ROCKED PROMINE PROMIN ADMINISTRACE AND DISCOVERED SP-49-45 IS

) THE SUPPLY IN LIMITED TO THE LOCATION OF ABOVE CHOICE (LIMITACE) ONLY, LIMITECAND LIMITEC, WAS CHOROLOGICAL THE LOCATED OF BEIGHN HOUSE. THE SYMPLE RETLIEFED IN THE LECTION AND ON THE SHIPPET WAS HAVE BEEN COLUMN. THE MACES HAVE RECH PROPRIED. IT THE CHARTES AND THE CHARTES AND THE CHARTES.

e) are address or parter 1 as 3521 km, 4301 street, coo) Med fine last Attended to Educate has Annels freez for because on her sit consist a Hulden Language Anderson for the Charles for Dametica are parternant for the Exhause becam forms and commen. INT DIEDE, PLONDA

KE CECHATION SHOWN HEREYS AND RANCE ON THE MANDIOLA CONTROL VERTICA DATE OF 1823 DOWNTH)

FINANCIA CHIEF OF THE TIME THE PROPOSADE WHITE THE TIME THE STATE OF THE CHIEF SAME OF MEET

DATES CONTROL OF THE TIME THE PROPOSADE WHITE THE THE STATE OF THE CHIEF SAME OF MEET

ADDIONANCE THE THEORY OF MINISTER CONTROLLED OF THE SHADE WAS SOME AND ELECTRANCE

ADDIONANCE THE THEORY OF MINISTER OF THE MANDIOLA CONTROLLED THE SHADE WAS TARTLE WAS INCLUDED.

ADDIONANCE THE SHADE OF MINISTER OF THE MANDIOLA CONTROLLED THE SHADE WAS TARTLE WAS INCLUDED.

THE STILE COURT FORTY, PLANETS EDALÉDECE DETRICT, THE FOLLOWING RECTRICTIONS FOR THE CONT COCONNET COLLECTION IN SECTION 13-135 OF THE CONT OF COCONNET COLLECTION IN SECTION 13-135 OF THE CONT COCONNET RECLEARCH STORE COLLECTION IN SECTION 13-135 OF THE CONTRACT OF THE

A STRING OF HAT LISS THAN TRENT-THE (18) TITT BALL BE RECHED STREET HAD HET HOUSE. STRINGT ORTHER THE THE RESEARCH AND OTHER APPLICABLE BUT CHILDWING REGIATIONS DIVIL THE THE STRINGHARDS CONTAINED IN THE OLDING SECULARIEST STRINGHARD RECHED BY SECTION THE STRINGHARDS CONTAINED IN THE OLDING SECULARIEST STRINGHARD RECHED BY SECTION MINION DEFINICION AND TARROS. ACTUALOS DE MOI LOSS TAMAS ROCKET-CITÉS (25) FILE DE ROCKET ABUTRINO PUBLICA ROCKE ROCKET-CO" - RIAN PORTINANS OF MOI LOSS TAMAS PROCEIT-CITÉS (25) FILE DE RECLARGIVAÇAT TO THE ROCKETANT OF A PORTINANS OF RACKET ROCKET MANT RECLARADE FOR PARROSE OU GRIEGI MONOCULAR LOSS ANCE, CICATOT FOR

LOCATION SKETCH

(I) THIS PLAN OF SURVEY AND THE PROPERTY DESCRIPTIONS SHEET OF THE R PROPARTS FROM AN ACTUAL CHI-DIE-GROUNS SURVEY-OF THE R (I) THE SURVEY WAS EXPONENTED BY THE SURVEYER, OR WHOSE! THE UNDERSOID, RESERVANCE COMPANY IN TRACETORS IN METERS OF METERS IN METERS IN METERS IN METERS IN THE PROJECT COMPANY IN SERVICES AND METERS IN IK) ALL HOMAIDH'S SHOWN HOSON ASTVALLY CHST, AND THE H DOUGH BEE WE TAKE OF HYDRY THOODS ICAT WOLDELL SHOWN HONGON (JULY JANUARY). LOUIN HONGON WAS MIND WONDOWN WHO

(IA) YIT LYMCOTZ BROWN ACCION, WIC CONDITIONS MICH CYCH GUICH THO HIC UTTO OU COURT CRRUIS BLUNCH ON THYROOD STICK LAND AND COURTS WELL CONDITIONS MICH CYCH CHOCK THO HIC UTTO DEEDY AS SHOWN HOREST, TACHE ARE (NO VISILE CHERNALS)
STRING (SHALDWARE, NEWSOY) LIBITATION, OCCIONAGENETY BY 1:
100 LIBOTATION OF THE CONTROL OF THE AREA OF MOREST, 100 LIBOTATION, DATE AREA OF MOREST, 100 LIBITATION, AND THE AREA OF STRILE EXECUTIVATION, CONTROL OF STRING OF THE TREATMENT.

(II) EXCEPT AS SHOWN HEREIN. THE PROPERTY DOCKS HATT SERVE WAY ADJUMENT PROPERTIES FOR UNIVERSES, MORECULAS ON OTHER PROPERTIES. (M) PK SLT, IPCARG, AND PRY IS ALL BELTWICK, SERVICINES, AND DRUD REPOSITIONS AND ASSESSMENT ASSESSMENT AND ASSESSMENT nd) adduut, motes mo (date: 10 mo frui 111, propert is pronco by the toloring states deathe field motes-of-way umrimad by the state of local, statescap of ano brondo unit, mats sook ון ברמדי גל קומיות וילוניות אימות אול מו מיבוב. נותני אומיני מובני מיבוב מיבוב מיבוב מיבוב ביובוב נותני בותני מיפוד ומונימנים מי אים מיפודית אים מי אים או "מיצוב נותנים" מייבול מונימנים מיים אל מיפואמאי מי אל מיפיצור מי מיני מי בטיפונים מונונים ואיבונים מייבוב מייבוב מייבוב מייבוב מייבוב מייבוב מייבוב מייבוב אות מונימנים מייבוב מ מייבוב מייבו ALL RECORDS LABOURS AND ENERS EXCEPTIONS, AS NOTICE IN THE COMMISSION FOR THIS PRIMARY RO--12- DOMENNAS, EXCEPT OF CHECKEN THIS RESIDENCE COMMISSION SUPPLIES SPECIALIST 28, 2008, MAY REDI-NECELY PLATED COME:

NOT SHAPETED IN HIS LOCKING HIS ALIGHTEN FLOOR THAN ON THAT SOMERCE TO THOSE HOLE, AND "A SOLITORS" IN THE CLOSE HOLE, AND "A SOLITORS" IN THE CLOSE HOLE AND THE CLOSE HE SOLITORS HOLD HE SOLITORS HOLD HE SOLITORS HOLE AND THE CLOSE HE SOLITORS HOLD HE SOLITORS HOLE AND THE SOLITORS HOLD HE SOLITORS HE SOLITORS HOLD HE SOLITORS HOLD HE SOLITORS HE SO AND THE SHAPE, WE MADE IN ACCORDANCE WIN'S WARRAW STHOMED RETAL STOCKHOLDING THE ALLIACEM AND THE SHAPE SHAPE WAS RECEIVED AND THE ACCORDANCE AND THE ALLIACEM AND THE ALLIACEM

THE CONTROL OF STATE OF STATE

PROJECT NO. 03-0087

SHEET 1 OF

SPEAR/ALEXANDER YOUNG PLATS

) exely as ghown helen, helde all he waterconsist, or or matriae, anelys of which fley on the property, and to I pathware, downware, on deadware for which these are line, of exelvation.

DIPOCE, ACCEPTANCE, POOLE, STREWS, OR THE PROPERTY USE OF THE PROPERTY CARD TO CHAPTER.

PREPARED FOR: CHIANTI ASSOCIATES, LTD.

ALTA / ACSM LAND TITLE SURVEY

CRAVEN-THOMPSON AND ASSOCIATES, INC. ENGINEERS PLANNERS SURVEYORS 2503 N.W. 5395 STREET, FORT LAUGHDALE, FLENDA 12271 FAR (\$24) 738-6400 TEL: (\$24) 739-6400 PLENGS LIEDZES DANGERNE, REPUTING & MAPPING BYTHER MA. ENGINE FLENGS LIEDZES DANGERNE, REPUTING & MAPPING BYTHER MA. ENGINE

ا لـــــــا ارا				11 1 1
		,		
	200			
-	S.	A		
		10		
			2470	01-14-57
	\pm		0410 ·	02-14-57
			DAND	02-14-57 1"-40
			SCALC:	1"=40"
PERSE CONTRACT PER COMMENTS			SCALC: DRAWN BY:	1"=40" RT




EXHIBIT B - Location Map






Exhibit C - Future Land Use Plan Designation Map



City of
Coconut Creek
Future Land Use Map









Exhibit D - Zoning Designations Map



City of Coconut Creek 2016 Zoning Map









Exhibit E - Local Areas of Particular Concern Map

Broward County LAPC's, ESL's, NRA's and Tree Resources








0 1 2 3 4 5 Miles

Exhibit F - Wellfield Protection Zone Map

BROWARD COUNTY WELL FIELD PROTECTION ZONES AND CONTAMINATED SITES







Exhibit G - Soils Map

BCLUP Natural Resource Map Series Soils










EXHIBIT I VILLAGE SHOPPES OF COCONUT CREEK PCD SPECIFIC DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS


Max. Building Area (sq. ft.) Max. Building height (feet) Max. Height of Nonhabitable Architectural Features (feet) Max. Height of Nonhabitable Architectural Features (feet) Max. Bidg. Coverage (sq. ft. building /sq. ft. site) Min. Bidg. Setbacks (feet) (17) From Wiles Road From SR7 From SR7 From Bast Property Line of PCD From North Property Line of PCD From Parcel A of the Alexander Young PCD Along Wiles Road Along Wiles Road Along Wiles Road Along Wiles Road Along SR7 From East Property Line of PCD From North Property Line of PCD From North Property Line of PCD Along Parcel A of the Alexander Young PCD Along Parcel A of Spaces Min. Width of Landscape Area Between Building and Parking (feet) Number of Loading Spaces Min. Width Sidewalk Adjacent to Building (feet) Min. Number of Stacking Lanes 1 Average Parking Ratio (spaces per sq. ft. of gross building area) Parking Space size (feet) Total Number of Outparcels Maximum Number of Outparcels (square feet) Minimum Size of Outparcels (square feet) Minimum Width of Outparcels (square feet) Minimum Width of Outparcels (feet) 70 Minimum Outparcel Building Setback from Outparcel Line (feet) Notes: (1) As measured from the interior line of the landscape buffer shown on the site plan (2) Including Greeneard continuous 6' high native hedge (3) Including Greeneard continuous 6' high native hedge (4) For all uses permitted in the PCD and based on the overall		
Max. Height of Nonhabitable Architectural Features (feet) Max. Floor Area Ratio (sq. ft. building /sq. ft. site) Max. Bldg. Coverage (sq. ft. building footprint/sq. ft. site) Min. Bldg. Setbacks (feet) From Wiles Road From SR7 From East Property Line of PCD From North Property Line of PCD From North Property Line of PCD From Parcel A of the Alexander Young PCD Along Wiles Road Along Wiles Road Along Wiles Road Along Wiles Road Along SR7 From East Property Line of PCD From North Property Line of PCD From North Property Line of PCD From North Property Line of PCD Along Parcel A of the Alexander Young PCD Min. Width of Landscape Area Between Building and Parking (feet) Number of Loading Spaces Minimum Size of Loading Spaces (feet) Average Parking Ratio (spaces per sq. ft. of gross building area) Parking Space size (feet) Total Number of Outparcels Maximum Number of Outparcels Per Street Frontage Minimum Size of Outparcels (square feet) Minimum Width of Outparcels (square feet) Minimum Width of Outparcels (square feet) Minimum Width of Outparcels (feet) Total Number of Outparcels (square feet) Minimum Width of Outparcels (square feet) Minimum Width of Outparcels (feet) 70 Minimum Width of Outparcels (feet) 70 Minimum Width of Outparcels (feet) 70 Minimum Outparcel Building Setback from Outparcel Line (feet) Notes: (1) As measured from the interior line of the landscape buffer shown on the site plan (2) Including Greenscreen	Max. Building Area (sq. ft.)	
Max. Floor Area Ratio (sq. ft. building /sq. ft. site) Max. Bldg. Coverage (sq. ft. building footprint/sq. ft. site) Min. Bldg. Setbacks (feet) From Wiles Road From SR7 From East Property Line of PCD From North Property Line of PCD From Parcel A of the Alexander Young PCD Along Wiles Road Along Wiles Road Along Wiles Road Along Wiles Road Along From North Property Line of PCD Along Parcel A of the Alexander Young PCD Along William Wildth Sidewalk Adjacent to Building (feet) Total Number of Stacking Lanes Average Parking Ratio (spaces per sq. ft. of gross building area) 1:235 ⁽⁴⁾ Parking Space size (feet) Total Number of Outparcels Average Parking Ratio (spaces Per Street Frontage Along Parking Space size (feet) Total Number of Outparcels (feet) Total Number of Outparcels (feet) Along Parking Parking Ratio (spaces Per Street Frontage Along Parking Parking Ratio (spaces Per Street Frontage Along Parking Parking Parking Parking Parking Park		50
Max. Floor Area Ratio (sq. ft. building /sq. ft. site) Max. Bldg. Coverage (sq. ft. building footprint/sq. ft. site) Min. Bldg. Setbacks (feet) From Wiles Road From SR7 From East Property Line of PCD From North Property Line of PCD From Parcel A of the Alexander Young PCD Along Wiles Road Along Wiles Road Along Wiles Road Along Wiles Road Along From North Property Line of PCD Along Parcel A of the Alexander Young PCD Along William Wildth Sidewalk Adjacent to Building (feet) Total Number of Stacking Lanes Average Parking Ratio (spaces per sq. ft. of gross building area) 1:235 ⁽⁴⁾ Parking Space size (feet) Total Number of Outparcels Average Parking Ratio (spaces Per Street Frontage Along Parking Space size (feet) Total Number of Outparcels (feet) Total Number of Outparcels (feet) Along Parking Parking Ratio (spaces Per Street Frontage Along Parking Parking Ratio (spaces Per Street Frontage Along Parking Parking Parking Parking Parking Park	Max. Height of Nonhabitable Architectural Features (feet)	60
Max. Bldg. Coverage (sq. ft. building footprint/sq. ft. site) Min. Bldg. Setbacks (feet) From Wiles Road 25 From SR7 25 From East Property Line of PCD 25 From North Property Line of PCD 25 From Parcel A of the Alexander Young PCD Min. PCD Perimeter Landscape Buffer (feet) Along Wiles Road 25 Along SR7 From East Property Line of PCD 5.5/20 From North Property Line of PCD 7.25 From East Property Line of PCD 8.5/20 From North Property Line of PCD 7.20 ^[27] Along Parcel A of the Alexander Young PCD 7.20 ^[27] Along Parcel A of the Alexander Young PCD Min. Open Space (% of gross PCD area) Min. Width of Landscape Area Between Building and Parking (feet) Number of Loading Spaces Min. Width of Landscape Area Between Building and Parking (feet) Number of Stacking Lanes 4 Min. Number of Stacking Lanes 1 Average Parking Ratio (spaces per sq. ft. of gross building area) Parking Space size (feet) 70 Minimum Width of Outparcels (square feet) Minimum Size of Outparcels (square feet) Minimum Width of Outparcels (square feet) Notes: (1) As measured from the interior line of the landscape buffer shown on the site plan (2) Including Greenscreen		0.3
Min. Bldg. Setbacks (feet) (1) From Wiles Road 25 From SR7 25 From East Property Line of PCD 25 From North Property Line of PCD 25 From Parcel A of the Alexander Young PCD 25 Min. PCD Perimeter Landscape Buffer (feet) Along Wiles Road 25 Along SR7 25 From East Property Line of PCD 5.5/20 From North Property Line of PCD 17-20 ⁽²⁾ Along Parcel A of the Alexander Young PCD 17-20 ⁽²⁾ Along Parcel A of the Alexander Young PCD 17-20 ⁽²⁾ Along Parcel A of the Alexander Young PCD 0-3 Min. Open Space (% of gross PCD area) 20 Min. Width of Landscape Area Between Building and Parking (feet) 4 ⁽³⁾ Number of Loading Spaces 4 Minmum Size of Loading Spaces (feet) 1 at 12x35 Min. Width Sidewalk Adjacent to Building (feet) 5 Min. Number of Stacking Lanes 1 Average Parking Ratio (spaces per sq. ft. of gross building area) 1:235 ⁽⁴⁾ Parking Space size (feet) 9918 ⁽⁵⁾ Total Number of Outparcels 2 Maximum Number of Outparcels (square feet) 21,000 Minimum Width of Outparcels (square feet) 70 Minimum Width of Outparcels (square feet) 21,000 Minimum Width of Outparcels (feet) 70 Minimum Outparcel Building Setback from Outparcel Line (feet) 25 Notes: (1) As measured from the interior line of the landscape buffer shown on the site plan (2) Including Greenscreen	Max. Bldg. Coverage (sq. ft. building footprint/sq. ft. site)	0.3
From Wiles Road From SR7 From East Property Line of PCD From North Property Line of PCD From North Property Line of PCD From North Property Line of PCD From Parcel A of the Alexander Young PCD Along Wiles Road Along Wiles Road Along SR7 From East Property Line of PCD From North Property Line of PCD From North Property Line of PCD Along Parcel A of the Alexander Young PCD Along Parcel Building Spaces (feet) Total Number of Loading Spaces (feet) Total Number of Stacking Lanes Average Parking Ratio (spaces per sq. ft. of gross building area) 1:235 ⁽⁴⁷⁾ Parking Space size (feet) Total Number of Outparcels Average Parking Ratio (spaces Per Street Frontage Along Parking Space size (feet) Total Number of Outparcels Per Street Frontage Along Parcel A of Uniform Poutparcels Along Parcel A of Uniform Poutparcels (square feet) Along Parcel A of Uniform Poutparcel Building Setback from Outparcel Line (feet) Poutparcel A of Uniform Poutparcel Poutparcel Line (feet) Poutparcel Building Setback from Outparcel Line (feet) Poutparcel A of Unifo	Min. Bldg. Setbacks (feet) (1)	
From East Property Line of PCD From North Property Line of PCD From North Property Line of PCD From Parcel A of the Alexander Young PCD 25 Min. PCD Perimeter Landscape Buffer (feet) Along Wiles Road Along SR7 25 From East Property Line of PCD From North Property Line of PCD From North Property Line of PCD Along Parcel A of the Alexander Young PCD Along Parcel A of	From Wiles Road	25
From North Property Line of PCD From Parcel A of the Alexander Young PCD 25 Min. PCD Perimeter Landscape Buffer (feet) Along Wiles Road 25 Along SR7 25 From East Property Line of PCD 5.5/20 From North Property Line of PCD 17-20 ¹²⁷ Along Parcel A of the Alexander Young PCD 0-3 Min. Open Space (% of gross PCD area) 20 Min. Width of Landscape Area Between Building and Parking (feet) Number of Loading Spaces 4 Minimum Size of Loading Spaces (feet) 1 at 12x35 3 at 12x55 Min. Width Sidewalk Adjacent to Building (feet) 5 Min. Number of Stacking Lanes 1 Average Parking Ratio (spaces per sq. ft. of gross building area) 1:235 ⁽⁴⁷⁾ Parking Space size (feet) 70 Minimum Size of Outparcels Per Street Frontage 1 Minimum Size of Outparcels (square feet) 70 Minimum Width of Outparcels (feet) 70 Minimum Width of Outparcels (feet) 70 Minimum Width of Outparcels (feet) 70 Minimum Outparcel Building Setback from Outparcel Line (feet) 8 Notes: (1) As measured from the interior line of the landscape buffer shown on the site plan (2) Including fence and continuous 6' high native hedge (3) Including Greenscreen	From SR7	25
From North Property Line of PCD From Parcel A of the Alexander Young PCD 25 Min. PCD Perimeter Landscape Buffer (feet) Along Wiles Road 25 Along SR7 25 From East Property Line of PCD 5.5/20 From North Property Line of PCD 17-20 ⁽²⁾ Along Parcel A of the Alexander Young PCD 0-3 Min. Open Space (% of gross PCD area) 20 Min. Width of Landscape Area Between Building and Parking (feet) 4(3) Number of Loading Spaces 4 Minimum Size of Loading Spaces (feet) 1 at 12x35 3 at 12x55 Min. Width Sidewalk Adjacent to Building (feet) 5 Min. Number of Stacking Lanes 1 Average Parking Ratio (spaces per sq. ft. of gross building area) 1:235 ⁽⁴⁾ Parking Space size (feet) 9x18 ⁽⁵⁾ Total Number of Outparcels Maximum Number of Outparcels Per Street Frontage 1 Minimum Size of Outparcels (square feet) 70 Minimum Width of Outparcels (feet) 70 Minimum Width of Outparcels (feet) 70 Minimum Width of Outparcels (feet) 25 Notes: (1) As measured from the interior line of the landscape buffer shown on the site plan (2) Including fence and continuous 6' high native hedge (3) Including Greenscreen	From East Property Line of PCD	_ 25
From Parcel A of the Alexander Young PCD Min. PCD Perimeter Landscape Buffer (feet) Along Wiles Road Along SR7 From East Property Line of PCD From North Property Line of PCD Along Parcel A of the Alexander Young PCD Along Parcel A of the Alexander Young PCD Along Parcel A of the Alexander Young PCD Min. Open Space (% of gross PCD area) Min. Width of Landscape Area Between Building and Parking (feet) Number of Loading Spaces Min. Width Sidewalk Adjacent to Building (feet) Min. Number of Stacking Lanes Average Parking Ratio (spaces per sq. ft. of gross building area) Parking Space size (feet) Total Number of Outparcels Maximum Number of Outparcels Per Street Frontage Minimum Size of Outparcels (square feet) Minimum Size of Outparcels (square feet) Minimum Width of Outparcels (feet) Minimum Width of Outparcels (feet) Notes: (1) As measured from the interior line of the landscape buffer shown on the site plan (2) Including fence and continuous 6' high native hedge (3) Including Greenscreen		25
Min. PCD Perimeter Landscape Buffer (feet) Along Wiles Road Along SR7 From East Property Line of PCD From North Property Line of PCD Along Parcel A of the Alexander Young PCD Min. Open Space (% of gross PCD area) Min. Width of Landscape Area Between Building and Parking (feet) Number of Loading Spaces Minimum Size of Loading Spaces (feet) Min. Width Sidewalk Adjacent to Building (feet) Min. Number of Stacking Lanes Average Parking Ratio (spaces per sq. ft. of gross building area) Parking Space size (feet) Total Number of Outparcels Minimum Size of Outparcels (square feet) Minimum Width of Outparcels (feet) To Minimum Width of Outparcels (feet) Notes: (1) As measured from the interior line of the landscape buffer shown on the site plan (2) Including Greenscreen	From Parcel A of the Alexander Young PCD	25
Along Wiles Road Along SR7 From East Property Line of PCD From North Property Line of PCD Along Parcel A of the Alexander Young PCD Along Parcel A of the Alexander Young PCD O-3 Min. Open Space (% of gross PCD area) 20 Min. Width of Landscape Area Between Building and Parking (feet) 4(3) Number of Loading Spaces 4 Minimum Size of Loading Spaces (feet) 1 at 12x35 3 at 12x55 Min. Width Sidewalk Adjacent to Building (feet) 5 Min. Number of Stacking Lanes 1 Average Parking Ratio (spaces per sq. ft. of gross building area) 1:235(4) Parking Space size (feet) 9x18(5) Total Number of Outparcels 2 Maximum Number of Outparcels Per Street Frontage 1 Minimum Size of Outparcels (square feet) 21,000 Minimum Width of Outparcels (feet) 70 Minimum Outparcel Building Setback from Outparcel Line (feet) 8 Notes: (1) As measured from the interior line of the landscape buffer shown on the site plan (2) Including Greenscreen		
Along SR7 From East Property Line of PCD From North Property Line of PCD Along Parcel A of the Alexander Young PCD O-3 Min. Open Space (% of gross PCD area) O-3 Min. Width of Landscape Area Between Building and Parking (feet) Number of Loading Spaces Minimum Size of Loading Spaces (feet) Min. Width Sidewalk Adjacent to Building (feet) Min. Number of Stacking Lanes Average Parking Ratio (spaces per sq. ft. of gross building area) Parking Space size (feet) Total Number of Outparcels Minimum Size of Outparcels (square feet) Minimum Size of Outparcels (feet) Minimum Width of Outparcels (feet) Minimum Width of Outparcels (feet) Minimum Width of Outparcels (feet) Minimum Outparcel Building Setback from Outparcel Line (feet) Notes: (1) As measured from the interior line of the landscape buffer shown on the site plan (2) Including fence and continuous 6' high native hedge (3) Including Greenscreen		25
From North Property Line of PCD Along Parcel A of the Alexander Young PCD O-3 Min. Open Space (% of gross PCD area) Min. Width of Landscape Area Between Building and Parking (feet) Number of Loading Spaces Minimum Size of Loading Spaces (feet) Min. Width Sidewalk Adjacent to Building (feet) Min. Number of Stacking Lanes Average Parking Ratio (spaces per sq. ft. of gross building area) Parking Space size (feet) Total Number of Outparcels Maximum Number of Outparcels Per Street Frontage Minimum Size of Outparcels (square feet) Minimum Width of Outparcels (feet) Minimum Width of Outparcels (feet) Minimum Outparcel Building Setback from Outparcel Line (feet) Notes: (1) As measured from the interior line of the landscape buffer shown on the site plan (2) Including Greenscreen		25
From North Property Line of PCD Along Parcel A of the Alexander Young PCD O-3 Min. Open Space (% of gross PCD area) Min. Width of Landscape Area Between Building and Parking (feet) Number of Loading Spaces Minimum Size of Loading Spaces (feet) Min. Width Sidewalk Adjacent to Building (feet) Min. Number of Stacking Lanes Average Parking Ratio (spaces per sq. ft. of gross building area) Parking Space size (feet) Total Number of Outparcels Maximum Number of Outparcels Per Street Frontage Minimum Size of Outparcels (square feet) Minimum Width of Outparcels (feet) Minimum Width of Outparcels (feet) Notes: (1) As measured from the interior line of the landscape buffer shown on the site plan (2) Including Greenscreen	From East Property Line of PCD	5.5/20
Along Parcel A of the Alexander Young PCD Min. Open Space (% of gross PCD area) Min. Width of Landscape Area Between Building and Parking (feet) Number of Loading Spaces Minimum Size of Loading Spaces (feet) Min. Width Sidewalk Adjacent to Building (feet) Min. Number of Stacking Lanes Average Parking Ratio (spaces per sq. ft. of gross building area) Parking Space size (feet) Total Number of Outparcels Maximum Number of Outparcels Per Street Frontage Minimum Size of Outparcels (square feet) Minimum Width of Outparcels (feet) Notes: (1) As measured from the interior line of the landscape buffer shown on the site plan (2) Including Greenscreen		17-20 ⁽²⁾
Min. Open Space (% of gross PCD area) Min. Width of Landscape Area Between Building and Parking (feet) Number of Loading Spaces Minimum Size of Loading Spaces (feet) Min. Width Sidewalk Adjacent to Building (feet) Min. Number of Stacking Lanes Average Parking Ratio (spaces per sq. ft. of gross building area) Parking Space size (feet) Total Number of Outparcels Minimum Number of Outparcels Per Street Frontage Minimum Size of Outparcels (square feet) Minimum Width of Outparcels (feet) Notes: (1) As measured from the interior line of the landscape buffer shown on the site plan (2) Including Greenscreen		0-3
Min. Width of Landscape Area Between Building and Parking (feet) Number of Loading Spaces Minimum Size of Loading Spaces (feet) 1 at 12x35 3 at 12x55 Min. Width Sidewalk Adjacent to Building (feet) 5 Min. Number of Stacking Lanes 1 Average Parking Ratio (spaces per sq. ft. of gross building area) Parking Space size (feet) 70 Maximum Number of Outparcels (square feet) Minimum Size of Outparcels (feet) Minimum Width of Outparcels (feet) Notes: (1) As measured from the interior line of the landscape buffer shown on the site plan (2) Including Greenscreen		20
Number of Loading Spaces Minimum Size of Loading Spaces (feet) 1 at 12x35 3 at 12x55 Min. Width Sidewalk Adjacent to Building (feet) 5 Min. Number of Stacking Lanes 1 Average Parking Ratio (spaces per sq. ft. of gross building area) 1:235 ⁽⁴⁾ Parking Space size (feet) 9x18 ⁽⁵⁾ Total Number of Outparcels 2 Maximum Number of Outparcels Per Street Frontage 1 Minimum Size of Outparcels (square feet) 21,000 Minimum Width of Outparcels (feet) 70 Minimum Outparcel Building Setback from Outparcel Line (feet) 25 Notes: (1) As measured from the interior line of the landscape buffer shown on the site plan (2) Including fence and continuous 6' high native hedge (3) Including Greenscreen		4 ⁽³⁾
Minimum Size of Loading Spaces (feet) Min. Width Sidewalk Adjacent to Building (feet) Min. Number of Stacking Lanes Average Parking Ratio (spaces per sq. ft. of gross building area) Parking Space size (feet) Total Number of Outparcels Maximum Number of Outparcels Per Street Frontage Minimum Size of Outparcels (square feet) Minimum Width of Outparcels (feet) Minimum Outparcel Building Setback from Outparcel Line (feet) Notes: (1) As measured from the interior line of the landscape buffer shown on the site plan (2) Including Greenscreen		4
Min. Width Sidewalk Adjacent to Building (feet) Min. Number of Stacking Lanes Average Parking Ratio (spaces per sq. ft. of gross building area) Parking Space size (feet) Total Number of Outparcels Maximum Number of Outparcels Per Street Frontage Minimum Size of Outparcels (square feet) Minimum Width of Outparcels (feet) Minimum Outparcel Building Setback from Outparcel Line (feet) Notes: (1) As measured from the interior line of the landscape buffer shown on the site plan (2) Including Greenscreen		1 at 12x35
Min. Number of Stacking Lanes Average Parking Ratio (spaces per sq. ft. of gross building area) Parking Space size (feet) Total Number of Outparcels Maximum Number of Outparcels Per Street Frontage Minimum Size of Outparcels (square feet) Minimum Width of Outparcels (feet) Minimum Outparcel Building Setback from Outparcel Line (feet) Notes: (1) As measured from the interior line of the landscape buffer shown on the site plan (2) Including Greenscreen		3 at 12x55
Min. Number of Stacking Lanes Average Parking Ratio (spaces per sq. ft. of gross building area) Parking Space size (feet) Total Number of Outparcels Maximum Number of Outparcels Per Street Frontage Minimum Size of Outparcels (square feet) Minimum Width of Outparcels (feet) Minimum Outparcel Building Setback from Outparcel Line (feet) Notes: (1) As measured from the interior line of the landscape buffer shown on the site plan (2) Including Greenscreen	Min. Width Sidewalk Adjacent to Building (feet)	5
Parking Space size (feet) 9x18 ⁽⁵⁾ Total Number of Outparcels 2 Maximum Number of Outparcels Per Street Frontage 1 Minimum Size of Outparcels (square feet) 21,000 Minimum Width of Outparcels (feet) 70 Minimum Outparcel Building Setback from Outparcel Line (feet) 25 Notes: (1) As measured from the interior line of the landscape buffer shown on the site plan (2) Including fence and continuous 6' high native hedge (3) Including Greenscreen		
Parking Space size (feet) Total Number of Outparcels Maximum Number of Outparcels Per Street Frontage Minimum Size of Outparcels (square feet) Minimum Width of Outparcels (feet) Minimum Outparcel Building Setback from Outparcel Line (feet) Notes: (1) As measured from the interior line of the landscape buffer shown on the site plan (2) Including fence and continuous 6' high native hedge (3) Including Greenscreen	Average Parking Ratio (spaces per sq. ft. of gross building area)	
Maximum Number of Outparcels Per Street Frontage 1 Minimum Size of Outparcels (square feet) 21,000 Minimum Width of Outparcels (feet) 70 Minimum Outparcel Building Setback from Outparcel Line (feet) 25 Notes: (1) As measured from the interior line of the landscape buffer shown on the site plan (2) Including fence and continuous 6' high native hedge (3) Including Greenscreen		9x18 ⁽⁵⁾
Minimum Size of Outparcels (square feet) Minimum Width of Outparcels (feet) Minimum Outparcel Building Setback from Outparcel Line (feet) Notes: (1) As measured from the interior line of the landscape buffer shown on the site plan (2) Including fence and continuous 6' high native hedge (3) Including Greenscreen	Total Number of Outparcels	2
Minimum Size of Outparcels (square feet) Minimum Width of Outparcels (feet) Minimum Outparcel Building Setback from Outparcel Line (feet) Notes: (1) As measured from the interior line of the landscape buffer shown on the site plan (2) Including fence and continuous 6' high native hedge (3) Including Greenscreen	Maximum Number of Outparcels Per Street Frontage	1
Minimum Width of Outparcels (feet) Minimum Outparcel Building Setback from Outparcel Line (feet) Notes: (1) As measured from the interior line of the landscape buffer shown on the site plan (2) Including fence and continuous 6' high native hedge (3) Including Greenscreen	Minimum Size of Outparcels (square feet)	21,000
Notes: (1) As measured from the interior line of the landscape buffer shown on the site plan (2) Including fence and continuous 6' high native hedge (3) Including Greenscreen	Minimum Width of Outparcels (feet)	70
Notes: (1) As measured from the interior line of the landscape buffer shown on the site plan (2) Including fence and continuous 6' high native hedge (3) Including Greenscreen		25
shown on the site plan (2) Including fence and continuous 6' high native hedge (3) Including Greenscreen		
shown on the site plan (2) Including fence and continuous 6' high native hedge (3) Including Greenscreen	(1) As measured from the interior line of the landscape buffer	
(3) Including Greenscreen	shown on the site plan	
(4) For all uses permitted in the PCD and based on the overall		
parking plan		
(5) Including 2' overhang	(5) Including 2' overhang	











80.1


1. ROOF DRAINS AT LOW-SLOPE ROOF ARE INTERNAL

GENERAL NOTES


2


MTL-1





- MTE







REFER TO DIGITAL SIGNATURE AFFIDAVIT

2920 FIRST AVENUE SOUTH BIRMINGHAM, ALABAMA 35233 CCRARCHITECTS.NET 205 - 324 - 8864 P 205-324-8496 F

P12 LS MEDIUM CUSTOM FSU# 3841

MTL-1

802

ELEVATION - EAST

ST-1

SC-2 <u>5</u> 5

SC-1

ELEVATION - WEST (441 FRONTAGE)

SC-2

SHEET TITLE
FLOOR PLAN,
ELEVATIONS AND
OUT BUILDINGS

'ERSION: P12 V2 18.03 3841 04/30/18 16119A

Checked Drawn By: JJB TSB

A-1.0

7 STORAGE BUILDING PLAN

8 REFUSE ENCLOSURE - 3D

9 STORAGE BUILDING - 3D

SEE CIVIL FOR SURROUNDING SITE

NORTH

PAINTED HOLLOW METAL DOOR SEE LANDSCAPE FOR SCREENING FOLIAGE

