Village Shoppes of Coconut Creek Planned Commerce District July 2007 May 2019

> P & Z Meeting 07/19/07

Commission Approved Ord. No. 2007-011 8/23/07

Date: ___

Village Shoppes of Coconut Creek

Planned Commerce District

March 2007 REVISED JULY 2007 REVISED MAY 2019



FTL:2113628:3








TABLE OF CONTENTS

Intr	oduction	1	
Α.	Site Overview	<u>1</u>	
<u>A.</u> I	B. Purpose and Intent	1	
C.	Original PCD Approval	<u>1</u>	
D.	2019 PCD Amendment	$\frac{1}{2}$	
<u>B.E</u>	E. Definitions 4	<u>2</u>	
Apj	olicant Information	2	
A.	Applicant Contact Information	2	
В.	$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{C}}$	2	
C.	Property Owner Contact Information	2 3	
D.	Applicant's Rationale for the Rezoning	_2	
Site	e Description	<u>2</u> 3	
A.	Sealed survey of the subject site	2 3 2 3 3	
B.	Size and location	3	
Exi	sting Conditions and previous development	approvals	3
A.		3	
B.		4 <u>3</u>	
C.		<u>3</u> 4	
D.	Environmental Features	<u>3</u>	
Pro	posed-Development Plan —	5	
	<u>ProposedExisting</u> -Uses	34 3 5 4 5 75	
G.I	E. Development Standards	<u>5</u>	
	G. Traffic Circulation	7 <u>5</u>	
	. Open Space—	<u>8 6</u>	
<u>J.I.</u>	Utilities	<u>6</u>	
K.J	. Parking and Loading Standards	9 7	
<u>L.</u> <u>K</u>	Signage and Lighting Standards	<u>7</u>	
<u>M.</u>]	L. Elevations and Architectural Features	8	
Pro	posed Restaurant Development Plan	<u>8</u>	
	Proposed Use	<u>8</u> <u>8</u>	
В.	Development Standards	<u>8</u>	
C.	_		
D.	Parking and Loading Standards	8 8 8 9	
Ε.		<u>8</u>	
A.F	F. Open Space Calculations—	9	
_	G. Elevations and Architectural Features	9	
Ana	alysis of Public Facilities and Services	<u>10</u>	
A.	Sanitary Sewer	10	
B.	Potable Water	12 10	
C.	Drainage	$\frac{12}{10}$	
D.	Solid Waste	12 <u>13</u> 10	
E.	Traffic-Circulation	11	
F.	Mass Transit	<u>11</u> 13	
Fise	cal Impact Analysis	13<u>14</u>11	

Site Plan and Master Plan Procedures and Requirements $\underline{11}$ 14

8.

9.	Planned Commerce District			March 2007
·	Dedications		14 <u>1215</u>	
10. FTL: 2	Devel 2113628:	opment Standards for Special Land Uses	<u>12</u> 15	
	Α.	Setbacks in Excess	<u>125</u>	
	<u>B</u> .	Landscaping	<u>125</u>	
	C.	<u>Signage</u>	<u>136</u>	
	D.	Safe and Proper Access	<u>136</u>	
	<u>E.</u>	Architectural Design	<u>147</u>	
	F.	Nuisance Abatement	<u>147</u>	
	G.	Property Security	<u>147</u>	
	<u>H.</u>	Public Safety	<u>147</u>	
	<u>I.</u>	Proper Locate and Stacking	<u>147</u>	

EXHIBITS

EXHIBIT A	Sealed Survey
EXHIBIT B	Location Map
EXHIBIT C	Future Land Use Plan Designations Map
EXHIBIT D	Zoning Designations Map
EXHIBIT E	Local Areas of Particular Concern Map
EXHIBIT F	Wellfield Protection Zone Map
EXHIBIT G	Soils Map
EXHIBIT H	Development Plan
EXHIBIT I	Development Standards
EXHIBIT J	Traffic Circulation Plan
EXHIBIT K	Open Space Plan
EXHIBIT L	Landscape Plan
EXHIBIT M	Schematic Engineering Plan
EXHIBIT N	Lighting Plan
EXHIBIT O	Preliminary Building Elevations and Sign Plan

<u>1.</u>

March 2007

INTRODUCTION

FTL:2113628:3

A. Site Overview

Village Shoppes of Coconut Creek Investments, LLC The applicant, Chianti Associates, owns all of the Village Shoppes of Coconut Creek shopping center located at 4690 N. State Road 7, which is generally located on the northeast corner of Wiles Road and N. State Road 7 ("Shopping Center") in the City of Coconut Creek ("City"). The Shopping Center is located within the Spear Plat and Parcel B of the Alexander Young Plat. The applicant proposes to construct a single phase. The Shopping Center consists of +/- 53,706 square feet of mixed-use development comprised of commercial and employment center uses consistent with the respective land use plan designations on the property.located on a +/- 6 acre parcel identified by the Broward County Property Appraiser as Folio No. 484218150010. The Shopping Center The subject-property is currently zoned PCD. However, each plat is included in a separate PCD and the development standards in the PCDs arc not consistent which makes it difficult to permit a unified development plan for the property. Many conditions in the area have changed since the original PCDs were approved for the Spear Plat and Alexander Young Plat. most significant of these changes is the adoption of the City of Coconut Creek MainStreet district located south of the subject property. In order to facilitate the proposed development plan and ensure its compatibility with Coconut Creek MainStreet district, the applicant proposes to combine the Spear Plat and the undeveloped portion of the Alexander Young Plat into a new PCD. The resulting development will be a quality mixed use center with a distinctive architectural style that will create a sense of place for this location and The Shopping Center is in keeping with the goals of the MainStreet district"L" shaped and wraps around an existing Chevron gas station at the corner identified by the Broward County Property Appraiser as Folio No. 484218100010.

B. Purpose and Intent

The purpose and intent of this document is to establish specific standards and procedures as outlined in Section 13-355 of the City of Coconut Creek Land Development Code that will continue to guide the development and operation of the Village Shoppes of Coconut Creek Shopping Center. This PCD will result in a comprehensively planned development with uniform and coordinated development standards that are in harmony with the MainStreet district. Through this comprehensive planning process and the resulting development regulations, the City will ensure that the existing and proposed development is suitable in size, location and character for the site and the surrounding area.

The Village Shoppes of Coconut Creek PCD was originally approved by the City Commission on August 23, 2007 via Ordinance No. 2007-011. This amendment to the PCD will maintain existing standards for the Shopping Center while introducing additional standards as referenced in Section 5, pages 8-14, for the proposed restaurant with drive-through facilities on Parcel B of the Alexander Young Plat.

B.A. Definitions

The definitions applied to the Village Shoppes of Coconut Creek Commerce District are contained in Section 13-355(b) of the City of Coconut Creek Land Development Code.

FTL:2113628:3

C. Original PCD

Approval

ii

Applicant's rationale for the rezoning.

The subject property is generally located at the intersection of SR7 and Wiles Road. The property is "L" shaped and wraps around an existing Chevron gas station at the corner. The property is comprised of parcels located in two separate plats and two different PCDs: Alexander Young and Spear. The applicant proposes to include the subject property in one PCD. This rezoning will facilitate a cohesive development plan for the property and will allow the development standards to he updated to more closely reflect the development pattern in the area which has changed substantially since the original PCDs were Planned Commerce District as approved. by the City Commission on August 23, 2007 via Ordinance No. 2007-011.

The Shopping Center is currently zoned PCD and is governed by the Village Shoppes of Coconut Creek Planned Commerce District as approved by the City Commission on August 23, 2007 via Ordinance No. 2007-011.

One major change in the area that <u>has</u> occurred since the <u>creation of the</u> original PCDs were adopted is the creation of the MainStreet district <u>located</u> south of the subject property. The City <u>has</u>—adopted a Regional Activity Center (RAC) land use plan designation and development standards for the MainStreet district. MainStreet is intended to be a pedestrian-friendly urban area with a mix of sustainable development. Sustainable development can be defined as the efficient use of land that allows for different types of uses to coexist in relative harmony while providing for various modes of transportation. The subject property is not located in the MainStreet district but the district's goals and standards were taken into account when the proposed mixed-use development plan was prepared for this PCD.

Many of the properties located along SR 7 and Wiles Road in this area are also zoned PCD. The development in the area is comprised of a mix of commercial and office uses. The uses located within proposed for the PCD are consistent and compatible with existing nonresidential uses located in the area. Residential uses exist and are proposed north and east of the site, respectively, which are within walking distance of the PCD. It is the hope of the applicant that the pedestrian friendly design and aesthetic characteristics of the PCD development plan will encourage those nearby residents to leave their vehicles at home and enjoy the atmosphere of the new mixed-use development in their neighborhood.

2019 PCD Amendment D.

In 2019, Chick-Fil-A, Inc. requested an amendment to the PCD to develop a +/- 4,151 square--foot, single--story restaurant including drive--through facilities on a +/- 1.09acre pad ("The Pad") located within the Shopping Center. The Shopping Center will remain zoned PCD which is consistent and compatible with adjacent PCD, PUD and B-2 zoning designations and consistent with the proposed development plan and permitted uses approved for the PCD by the City Commission.

Definitions

The definitions applied to the Village Shoppes of Coconut Creek Commerce District are contained in Section 13-355(b) of the City of Coconut Creek Land Development Code.

2. APPLICANT INFORMATION

Name, address, telephone, and facsimile number of the applicant.

Chianti Associate, LLC 1400

Buford Highway Building H-3

Sugar Hill, GA 30518

Contact: Harold S. Wenal

Phone: 678-714-7893

Name, address, telephone, and facsimile number of the agent.

Dennis D. Mele, Esq.

Ruden, McClosky, Smith, Schuster & Russell, P.A.

200 East Broward Boulevard, Suite 1500

Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301 Telephone: 954-527-2413 Facsimile: 772-333-4013

Name, address and telephone number of the current property owner(s).

Chianti Associate, LLC 1400 Buford Highway Building H-3

Sugar Hill, GA 30518

Contact: Harold S. Wenal

Phone: 678-714-7893

2. APPLICANT INFORMATION

Name, address, telephone, and facsimile number of the applicant.

Chick-Fil-A, Inc.

5200 Buffington Road

Atlanta, GA 30349

Contact: Jason Pociask

Phone: 404-765-8038

Name, address, telephone, and facsimile number of the agent.

Dwayne L. Dickerson, Esq.

Dunay, Miskel & Backman, LLP.

14 SE 4 Street, Suite 36

Boca Raton, FL 33432 Telephone: 561-405-3324 Facsimile: 561-409-2341

Name, address and telephone <u>number of the current property owner(s)</u>.

Village Shoppes of Coconut Creek Investments, LLC

2199 Ponce de Leon Boulevard, Suite 301

Coral Gables, FL 33134

Contact: Dagmar Rivera

Phone: 954-533-2072

3.—— SITE DESCRIPTION

A. Sealed survey of the subject site

_See EXHIBIT A.

A.B. Size and location

The subject site consists of \pm /- 6.0+/- acres generally located at the northeast corner of Wiles Road and SR7/US 441 in the City of Coconut Creek. A location map that identifies the subject property in relation to major roadways within five miles is provided as EXHIBIT B.

4.—— EXISTING SITE CONDITIONS AND PREVIOUS DEVELOPMENT APPROVALS

A. Current land use plan designation(s) for the site and surrounding area.

The subject Tract B of the Spear Plat which fronts on Wiles Road is designated Commercial on the City of Coconut Creek and Broward County Land Use Plan. Tract A of the Spear Plat is designated Employment Center on the City of Coconut Creek and Broward County Land Use Plan. Commercial flexibility has been allocated to Tract A of the Spear Plat. Parcel B of the Alexander Young Plat is designated Employment Center on the City of site is designated Commercial on the Coconut Creek and Broward County Land Use Plans. The land use plan designations adjacent to the PCD are provided below:

North: Low (5) Residential West:

SR 7/US 441

South: Regional Activity Center East:

Employment Center

See EXHIBIT C.

B. The Current zoning designation(s) for the subject site and surrounding area.

Subject Site: PCD

Adjacent Properties: North: PUD

West: SR 7 (City of Coral Springs Zone BCB-3) South:

PCD

East: PCD

See EXHIBIT D.

B.C. Existing Improvements

The site contains a metal storage building and frame loading dock structure used for the agricultural operations that formerly occurred in the area. These structures are not being used at this time.

The Shopping Center consists of +/- 53,706 square feet of mixed uses including commercial, office and personal services.

C.D. Environmental Features.

No unique conditions are known to exist within the project site that would <u>interfere</u> with the existing development or preclude <u>future</u> development.

According to the Broward County LAPC's, ESL's, NRA's and Tree Resources Map, there are no Local Areas of Particular Concern identified within the project site. See EXHIBIT E.

According to the Broward County Wellfield Map, the subject property is not located in a welifield protection zone. See EXHIBIT F.

Α.

According to the Broward County Land Use Plan Natural Resource Map series, the soil on this site is the Hallandale Margate Association. This soil type covers a large part of Broward County. In order to comply with drainage requirements, clean fill will be placed over the surface of the site prior to development. See EXHIBIT G.

FTL:2113628:3

D.E. Proposed Existing Uses

The proposed existing development plan for the subject site is provided as EXHIBIT H. As mentioned above, the subject property is designated Employment Center and Commercial on the land use plan. The The existing PCD development plan provides for a mixed-use commercial, retail and office center that accommodates uses permitted by the existing land use plan designations. The applicant will be signing leases with tenants during the development approval process. The exact mix of uses will be determined and verified at the time certificates of occupancy are issued. All uses will be consistent with the underlying land use plan designations on the site designation.

Permitted Use		
Use	Intensity	
Commercial, Office and Personal Service	59,000 square feet	

As previously indicated, the subject property is designated Commercial and Employment Center on the City and County land use plans and commercial flexibility has been allocated to that portion of the Spear Plat that is designated Employment Center. All uses developed on the property must beare consistent with permitted principal and accessory uses identified in the land use plan for the respective underlying land use plan designations designation. The principal and accessory uses for each parcel in the PCD according to the underlying land use plan designations include the following:

- 1. Parcel A of the Spear Plat
 - Office
 - Hotels, motels and similar lodging
 - Restaurants and personal services
 - Community facilities
 - Commercial and retail business uses
- 2. Parcel B of the Spear Plat
 - Office and business uses
 - Hotels, motels and similar lodging
 - Restaurants and personal services
 - Commercial recreation
 - Community facilities
 - Commercial and retail business uses

3. Parcel B of the Alexander Young Plat

- Office
- Hotels, motels and similar lodging
- Restaurants and personal services
- Community facilities
- Retail uses limited to 50% of the site within buildings devoted to principal uses
- Bank use as a personal service accessory to the Employment Center uses.

At this time, the proposed-development plan for the PCD includes general retail, office, and restaurant with drive-through-and a bank with drive through. The permitted uses for the PCD shall beare located on the site in a manner consistent with the underlying land use plan designations designation. Development conditions referenced in Section 13-621-(2), (3), (4), (5) and (7) of the City of Coconut Creek Land Development Code at the time of the adoption of this PCD shall apply to the applicable uses listed below. The permitted uses shall include the following: uses provided by the Master Business List, Chapter 13-621 of the City's Land Development Code.

Animal grooming (no overnight boarding)

Apparel and accessory stores

Arts, crafts and drafting supplies

Auctioneers

Automobile parts (no service or installation)

Automobile and truck rental agency (office only)

Automobile tag agency

Bake shop and delicatessen

Bank with drive-through

Barbershops, beauty/nail salons and tanning salons

Building materials

Business services.

Dance, musical instruction and martial art studios

Detective and security agencies

Drug stores and pharmacies

Dry cleaners

Employment agencies

Financial institutions, mortgage and stockbrokers

Florists

General retail not otherwise specified

Government offices

Gyms and exercise clubs

Hardware stores

Household equipment rental

Jewelry shops with repair (no smelting) Lawn and garden supplies and equipment Liquor Stores

FTI:2113628:3

March 2007

Maid, valet and janitorial services

Medical laboratories

Medical offices and clinics

Message Therapist

News stands

Pet stores

Photographic and artist studios

Printing, lithograph and reproduction

Professional offices not otherwise specified

Real estate office

Repair shops for small household appliances and

locksmiths

Restaurants, carry-out snack shops, etc. with drive-through

Secretarial, data processing and temporary staffing services

Shoe repair

Trade, technical and business schools

Travel agencies

Video stores

The note on the face of the Spear Plat currently restricts development to 56,818 square feet of automobile dealership. Parcel Tract B of the Alexander Young Plat is restricted to 25,000 square feet of office use. Concurrent with this PCD amendment the applicant is processing a plat note amendment consistent with the PCD development plan. The PCD anticipates 59,000 square feet of gross building area. However, Broward County also counts some walkways and canopies when determining plat restrictions. Therefore, the plat note amendment may exceed the amount of allowable development in the PCD to account for the walkways and canopies.

E.F. Development Standards

The development standards that apply to the permitted uses are provided in EXHIBIT I.

F.G. Traffic Circulation

The subject site has access to the regional roadway network from Wiles Road and SR 7/US 441. An on-site traffic circulation system has been developed to ensure safe and adequate circulation for both vehicles and pedestrians as shown in EXHIBIT J. The Traffic Circulation Plan identifies a right-in/right-out driveway on SR 7/US 441 and a shared access on Wiles Road that is located on the adjacent F & N Platplat. The access opening on Wiles Road will provide provides full turning movements.

5. PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT PLAN

FTL:2113628:

These access points are consistent with the approved opening on the Alexander Young Plat and the cross access easement on the F & N Plat. This preliminary circulation plan may be modified during the site plan approval process to meet the applicable site plan standards of the City's Land Development Code. The exact location of curb cuts and turning movements at driveway locations will be determined as part of site plan and FDOT approval. Therefore, EXHIBIT J is subject to change based on review and input from FDOT and Broward County review agencies without the need to amend the PCD, provided the criteria in Section 8 of this PCD are met.

DH. Open Space Plan

The applicant proposes a unique set of open space areas that exceed the twenty (20) Village Shoppes of Coconut Creek percent minimum required by the City's Land

12

March 2007

Development Code. According to the Open Space Plan approximately 27% of the site will be<u>is currently being maintained as open space in the form of landscaped buffers, landscaped medians, and building foundation plantings. EXHIBIT K depicts the proposed open space program for the subject property.</u>

The open space areas on the site exceeded the 20 percent minimum required by the City's Land Development Code. According to the Open Space Plan approximately 27% of the site was being maintained as open space in the form of landscaped buffers, landscaped medians, and building foundation plantings. See EXHIBIT K.

The size of the landscape buffers on the perimeter <u>ifof</u> the PCD was determined based on the adjacent uses and the desire to promote a pedestrian friendly environment.

An element of sustainable development <u>wasis</u> incorporated in the open space plan by including <u>greenscreen</u> at key locations on the building façades. The greenscreen is a three—dimensional, welded wire trellising system. The panels that make up the greenscreen create a "captive growing space" for supporting healthy plant growth. The greenscreen is included on a portion of the western elevation of the building to soften the façade as an alternative to foundation plantings.

During construction of the residential development north of the site, a landscape berm was constructed on the subject property without the consent of the owner. The berm wasis constructed of muck and will not support the wall that is required by Code on the north property line. The applicant proposes a bronze aluminum fence and a dense planting in the Fencing and landscaping along the buffers landscape buffer to provide the necessary buffer separation and security for the residents in the adjacent development.

Entry features are were included in the landscape buffers to provide gateways to the development and create a sense of place.

A fountain is also was provided in the center of the main building that is designed to serve as a central gathering place in the development. The landscape plan is attached as EXHIBIT L.

FTL:2113628:3

Village Shoppes of Coconut CreekThe preliminary landscape plan is attached as EXHIBIT L.

J.I. Utilities

All utilities within the PCD, including electric, cable and telephone will be provided by underground means pursuant to Section 13-262, Electrical Systems; Section 13-263, Telephone and Cable Television; and Section 13-270, Other Utilities, of the City Code of Ordinances unless a waiver is requested and approved by the City. Final plans will reflected coordination with landscape designs to avoid future maintenance problems, where possible. No permanent structures shall be in conflict with proposed existing water main(s) and/or sanitary sewer facilities. In addition, light poles shall be located so as to not conflict with any proposed utilities or landscaping. All light poles shall beare in compliance with Florida Building Code, latest edition including the ability to sustain 140 MPH wind loads.

March 2007

The schematic engineering plan is provided as EXHIBIT M.

K.J. Parking and Loading Standards

Parking design for sustainable development should not exist as a "sea of pavement" that is utilized a minimal amount of days per year; rather, different methodologies should be utilized which provide for the efficient provision of parking. Generally speaking, today's parking regulations have been derived primarily from two sources, the Institute of Traffic Engineers (11EITE) and the Urban Land Institute (ULI). These standards typically recommend that commercial shopping plazas have enough parking to serve the demand during the 20th busiest hour for all of the hours that the shopping center is open. Typically, the 20th busiest hour falls between Thanksgiving and Christmas. Using this methodology, approximately 40 percent% of the year more than half of the parking spaces provided would be empty.

The development of large parking lots has been proven to increase the cost of development and often creates disincentives related to Smart Growth. Additionally, having generic parking regulations which are based on 20th busiest hour demand rates creates excess parking spaces that consume land and resources. They also encourage automobile use and dependency as well as the air pollution and water degradation that are tied to excessive automobile usage.

Having large parking lots, with vast amounts of parking is often highly regarded by "Big Box" commercial developers. The existing PCD does not include a large anchor store that would be perceived as a "Big Box" and therefore the applicant does and owner do not need nor want a large parking lot in front of the shopping center Shopping Center. Psychologically, an underutilized parking area can indicate to a potential patron that the businesses are not desirable. For these reasons, the applicant has and owner have taken steps to reduce the parking field on the site as described below.

Shared parking is a method that allows multiple uses to share one or more parking facility. The Village Shoppes of Coconut Creek proposes a mix of office, bank, retail and restaurants that have parking demands at different "peak" times of the day. Therefore, the amount of required parking is less than the combined requirements for each of the individual uses. The proposed site plan provides a blended parking ratio of 1 space for every 230 square feet of gross building area of permitted uses in the PCD. This minimum parking ratio will is be maintained for all uses permitted by the PCD within the overall site plan. The development plan also includes 9' by 18' parking spaces that include a 2' overhang. By reducing the demand for parking through mixed-uses and shared parking and by reducing the size of the parking spaces, the applicant is able to improve the aesthetics of the Village Shoppes of Coconut Creek by providing 27% open space on the site.

The applicant will provide loading spaces on the east side of the building as noted on the development plan. The loading area is screened from the adjacent property by a landscape buffer.

GK. Signage and Lighting Standards

All <u>existing</u> signs in the PCD shall be consistent with the approved site plan-<u>and</u> any sign deviations approved by the City Commission. The <u>owner and</u> applicant is<u>are</u> requesting requested two primary and twothree secondary monument signs. The primary signs are designed to identify the shopping center name and a major tenant. The secondary signs—<u>arewere</u> designed to identify the

March 2007

proposed outparcels. These signs meet the applicable size and area requirements. The <u>owner and</u> applicant <u>isare requesting requested</u> that one primary sign and one secondary sign <u>be located</u> on each of the street frontages: Wiles Road and State Road 7. All other signage will comply with the regulations contained in Article III, Subdivision V, Regulation for the Use and Control of Signs, City Zoning Regulations <u>except for any sign deviations approved by the City Commission and any signage described in Paragraph 5A below.</u>

All lighting on the property <u>has-was</u> been designed according to the requirements in Section 13-374 of the City Code. Light poles have been located so as not to conflict with tree canopy. The poles provided comply with all applicable Florida Building Code requirements. See EXHIBIT N for proposedexisting lighting plan.

H

L. Elevations and Architectural Features

Building elevations are provided in <u>EXHIBIT O</u>. These elevations provided a distinctive architectural design that breaks from the common Mediterranean style that is typical in the area. The architecture is simple and elegant with modern influences. With these characteristics, it will appeal to a wide spectrum of the community. The buildings will be constructed with quality building materials such as stone bases, quality window systems that are hurricane resistant and standing seam metal roof that have been more durable during hurricanes than a typical barrel tile roof. The <u>previous</u> plans included features that are pedestrian friendly and create a sense of place including awning covered walkways, room for outdoor café seating and a central fountain. Combined these characteristics will-produced a quality and sophisticated development that will help Coconut Creek to define its image.

Green building concepts <u>have_were_also been_included</u> in the plans for this development. At this time a LEED certification is not available for retail buildings. However, the following elements <u>will be were</u> incorporated in the development to promote a sustainable development:

- White roofs on the retail building and outparcels to reflect the sun's rays and reduce the building's energy consumption.
- The landscape plan exceeds the number of native species required by Code. These native species require less water and fertilizer which reduces water and energy consumption associated with the development.
- Façades that face south include metal louvers to reduce solar heat gains into the building thus reducing cooling loads.
- North facing glazing is maximized to achieve maximum day light levels for the <u>buildingsbuilding's</u> interior which reduces lighting power loads.

5. PROPOSED RESTAURANT DEVELOPMENT PLAN

A. Proposed Use

In 2019, Chick-Fil-A, Inc. requested an amendment to the PCD to develop a +/- 4,151 square-foot single-story restaurant including drive through facilities on a +/- 1.09 acre Pad located within the Shopping Center.

B. Development Standards

The development standards that apply to the permitted uses are provided in EXHIBIT I.

C. Traffic Circulation

The Pad is located within the Shopping Center and will utilize the Shopping Center's existing traffic circulation patterns and access points to the regional roadway network from Wiles Road and SR 7/US 441. An on-site traffic circulation system has been developed to ensure safe and adequate circulation for both vehicles and pedestrians as shown in EXHIBIT J. The Traffic Circulation Plan identifies a right-in/right-out driveway on SR 7/US 441 and a shared access on Wiles Road that is located on the adjacent plat. The access opening on Wiles Road provides full turning movements.

D. Parking and Loading Standards

An analysis of the parking supply and parking demand has been performed considering the existing hourly parking demand of the Village Shoppes, the projected demand associated with the currently vacant retail space, and the projected hourly demand of the proposed restaurant (based upon the hourly parking demands at the Deerfield Beach Chick-fil-A). The results of this "time-of-day" parking indicate that the Village Shoppes shopping center will have an adequate parking supply to accommodate the proposed restaurant and the subject shopping center at full occupancy.

The parking supply for the proposed restaurant in Coconut Creek is 50 parking spaces. This parking supply for the proposed restaurant is expected to be adequate to meet the peak demand with additional parking spaces available for the remainder of the shopping center. It is also noted that the proposed restaurant will have a building area of 4,151 square feet. Of this area, 1,951 square feet will be considered "customer service area" while the remaining 2,070 square feet will be considered "non-customer service area". Of particular interest to this analysis is the fact that less than half of the building area will be considered "customer service area".

The resulting parking supply for the Village Shoppes shopping center (including the proposed restaurant) will be 237 parking spaces. At full occupancy, this shopping center and the proposed restaurant are projected to exhibit a peak parking demand of 230 parking spaces. As a result, the subject shopping center is expected to have an adequate parking supply during the peak periods.

A 12-foot by 55-foot loading area has been demarcated at the north end of the proposed restaurant building. The proposed restaurant employs a key drop after-hours delivery operation to ensure these are not in conflict with the customers on site.

E. Signage Standards

Currently, the existing Shopping Center has a ground sign located at the entrance of State Road 7 and an additional ground sign at the entrance of Wiles Road. These ground signs total one hundred thirty (130) square feet. The Shopping Center shall be permitted an additional monument sign for the restaurant as follows:

The structure of the restaurant ground sign shall not exceed thirty (30) square feet, with a maximum height of six (6) feet. The sign area will not exceed 60 percent of the overall structure, and it has been designed to match the other ground signs on the plaza for a harmonized appearance. The additional ground sign will be located along SR7 and shall follow the design standards found in Section 13-466.6(c)(1)b of the City Code unless otherwise stated in this Paragraph. The ground sign will generally be centered along the frontage of the SR7 right-of-way; however, minimal shifts from the center of the right-of-way will be allowed to provide clearance from trees, utility lines, easements or other existing structures and visibility hindrances.

16

In addition, the Project is seeking an additional menu board sign due to the unique nature of this restaurant business. While many fast food restaurants have a single point of order for the drive-through facility, this restaurant uses two (2) lanes and ordering points for a more efficient drive-through experience. The lanes then merge into a single lane for the order pickup window. Each ordering point requires a separate menu board so that customers can easily identify the restaurant offerings while placing their orders. Therefore, the restaurant shall be permitted to have two menu board signs that are site-specific as part of the PCD and specifically permitted as follows:

- Two (2) digital drive-up service lane status signs may be permitted per drivethrough service lane
- Shall be single-faced only
- Maximum height of the sign above grade is seven (7) feet
- Maximum horizontal dimension is eight (8) feet; and
- Shall comply with section 13-374, "outdoor lighting"

F. Open Space Calculations

The applicant 6. proposes a unique set of open space areas that exceed the 20 percent 5 minimum required by the City's Land Development Code. Approximately 312 percent of the site will be maintained as open space in the form of landscaped buffers, landscaped medians, and building foundation plantings.

G. Elevations and Architectural Features

Utility hardware on the building (gutters and drains) may be visible from public rights-of-way due to the fact that the gutters on all three towers of the restaurant will appear like trim from ground level, and match the soffit and roof colors, so they are barely perceivable from pedestrian vantage point. The downspouts for the two small towers are at the middle of the rear side of the towers and are not visible at all from pedestrian's vantage point. The downspout at the rear side of the large tower is visible from some vantage points as shown on A-1.1 Perspective View from East and Perspective View from West, but it will be camouflaged by placement between two decorative brackets and it's painted to match the surrounding stucco. Channeling water through these gutters and downspouts will help the walls and base of the building weather better than allowing roof water to discharge through open scuppers or to drip freely from the tower roofs.

The auxiliary structures will match the architectural design of the principal building for a harmonized, aesthetically pleasing presentation of the restaurant. Please see Exhibit O for the preliminary elevations for the proposed restaurant.

The proposed restaurant has the following architectural green features:

- Indoor water use reduction of 45 percent through fixtures and process equipment
- All building systems commissioned for effectiveness prior to building turnover
- Specified Energy Star equipment
- Low-E, insulated high performance glazing to reduce solar heat gain
- LED interior light fixtures
- Minimum 50 percent certified FSC accredited wood
- Low emitting VOC flooring and paint materials
- Prioritize materials with recycled content
- Controllability of lighting and thermal comfort in administrative spaces to enhance productivity
- Enhanced daylighting through increased fenestration

March 2007

• Sound transmission considerations between back of house, restrooms and restaurant space

The restaurant will have the National Wildlife Federation (NWF) Certification throughout the span of this Project, which will provide food, water, and a place for local wildlife to raise their young.

6. ANALYSIS OF PUBLIC FACILITIES AND SERVICES

A. Sanitary Sewer Analysis

The subject site is serviced by the Broward County Office of Environmental Services (BCOES). The North Regional Wastewater Treatment Plant (NRWWTP) provides sanitary sewer service to the subject site. Final engineering plans that specify the improvements required to connect to NRWWTP are subject to approval by the City and will be submitted following final site plan approval by the Planning and Zoning Board. A schematic engineering plan that depicts the sewer connection points and a preliminary layout of the sewer lines serving the site is provided as EXHIBIT M. There is an existing sewer main located on the northeast corner of the adjacent gas station site that can serve this development. The exact locations, size and service points will be determined at the time of site plan approval.

B. Potable Water Analysis

The BCOES Broward County Water District II Water Treatment Plant serves the subject property. The plant is located at 1390 NE 51st St., Pompano Beach, Florida. A schematic engineering plan that depicts the potable water connection points and a preliminary layout of the potable water lines serving the site is provided as EXHIBIT M. The property is served by an 8--inch water main located east of the subject site and a 12" water main located along SR 7. The exact location, size and service points will be determined at the time of site plan approval.

C. Drainage Analysis

The subject property lies within the Wiles Road sub-basin of the Cocomar Water Control District. The schematic engineering plan identifies a drainage stub-out located adjacent to this property that was constructed in conjunction with the improvement to Wiles Road specifically to serve this property. Dry retention areas are shown on EXHIBIT M. and are intended to meet the requirements of the Cocomar Water Control System Master Permit. Final engineering plans, including all drainage improvements, will be approved during the site plan and engineering plan approval process.

D. Solid Waste Analysis

The City of Coconut Creek is served by the Republic Services the Wheelabrator North Broward, Inc. solid waste facility. _This facility produces power by incineration and sells it to local utilities.

All service refuse provides waste collection service in the City. Dumpster locations are provided on the Development Plan, EXHIBIT H.—The final locations will be identified on the approved site plan and will provide adequate solid waste collection locations on the site.

March 2007

E. Traffic Analysis

The subject property was evaluated for traffic concurrency as part of the Wiles Road improvement agreement and also during the platting process. As part of the Wiles Road agreement, former owners of the property donated right-of-way to the County for the construction of Wiles Road and paid their fair share of the improvement costs. In exchange, the owners received transportation concurrency vesting for a specific amount of development.

As mentioned previously the The owner and applicant is will be processing a plat note amendment for the Spear and Alexander-Young PlatsPlat in conjunction with the PCD approval-to accommodate the proposed restaurant use. Broward County will review the proposed plat note amendment to determine if the proposed development plan exceeds the number of trips that were vested for the property under the Wiles Road agreement. The subject property is located within a Transit Oriented Concurrency area. If necessary, the applicant will pay the appropriate Transit Oriented Concurrency fees to mitigate any traffic impacts of the proposed development plan that were not vested under the Wiles Road improvement agreement or the previous plat approvals.

FTL:2113628:3

Village Shoppes of Coconut Creek

F. Mass Transit Analysis

Broward County Transit Route 18 travels State Road 7. According to the Broward County Transit ("BCT") website, Route 18 runs continuously between 5:45 a.m. and 10:00 p.m. at 15-minute intervals on weekdays and 30-minute intervals on weekends. A bus bay is provided along SR 7 adjacent to the site. Development of the site will increase the number of potential mass transit trips generated by the subject property. However, there is adequate capacity in Route 18 to accommodate the additional rides.

The City of Coconut Creek also provides local bus service that interfaces with Broward County Transit. Specifically, the City's S route connects to Route 18. The S route serves the Township, Centurea Park and South Creek developments. Residents of these developments and others have transit access to this site via Broward County and City transit routes.

The site plan provides safe pedestrian connections to SR7 and Wiles Road to encourage mass transit ridership to and from the site.

7.—_FISCAL IMPACT ANALYSIS

The development of Village Shoppes of Coconut Creek will increase the tax base to the City of Coconut Creek. The current millage rate for the City of Coconut Creek is 5.3408. The total millage rate for all taxing entities including the City of Coconut Creek is 22.2501. Based on the estimated value of the building and land of approximately \$13 million, the City's estimated ad valorem tax benefit will be approximately \$69,430. The estimated tax benefit for other governmental entities would be approximately \$219,821.

FTL:2113628:3

March 2007

Village Shoppes of Coconut Creek

8. SITE PLAN AND MASTER PLAN PROCEDURES AND REQUIREMENTS

Proposed site plans for specific building shall be reviewed by the <u>City CommunityCity's Sustainable</u> Development Department and approved by the City Planning and Zoning Board <u>and/or City Commission</u> pursuant to the development standards and permitted uses provided for in this PCD document.

The procedures and requirements for Site Plan approval are as follows:

- 1. All information required by Article III, Division 5, Site Plan Review Requirements of the City Zoning Regulations shall be prepared for the area of the Building Parcel.
- 2. A common architectural theme shall be incorporated in all buildings throughout the project.

Any site plan amendments that fall within the criteria below shall not require amendment to the PCD.

- 3. The modification does not substantially alter the intent and character of an approved site plan;
- 4. Any additional structures contemplated by any modification shall clearly be accessory to a principal use or structure;
- 5. Any modification shall not generate additional off-street parking or intrude into approved off-street parking areas;
- 6. Any modification shall not substantially alter approved on- or off-site schematic engineering.
- 7. 5-Enhancement landscape plans that do not substantially alter the intent and character of an approved landscape plan.

9. DEDICATIONS

Any land dedications or easements have been provided on the Spear Plat and the Alexander _Young Plat._ Any additional dedications required by the City, County or other governmental entities will be provided by separate instrument—prior to the issuance of a certificate of occupancy for the subject property.

FTL:2113628:3

Village Shoppes of Coconut Creek

10. SPECIAL LAND USES

The <u>owner and applicant proposesa restaurant with</u> a <u>bank drive though and restaurant</u> drive-through <u>facility</u> on the site. The analysis below is provided to demonstrate the steps that have been taken to ensure that these uses are consistent and compatible with the <u>surrounding properties and zoning districts</u>.

March 2007

A. Setbacks in Excess of Those Required

The required PCD setback is 25'. Additional setbacks have been provided for both the bank and the restaurant.restaurantsthe restaurant. The approximate setbacks measured from the boundary of the PCD are as follows:

	Bank Restaurant 1 Setback
North	135 ' <u>95.9.'</u>
South	80 60'
East	375'
West	105 ' <u>125'</u>

B. Enhanced Landscaping

The proposed landscape plan includes a number of enhanced features to create an attractive site. — and in line with the City of Coconut Creek's goals to improve the tree canopy presence around the City. Overall, the proposed landscape plan provides approximately 29 percent open space throughout the site which exceeds the 20% open space requirement of the PCD regulations.

The plan incorporates a landscaped patio on the restaurant parcel which will provide for an attractive and inviting meeting and gathering area on the site. The foundation plantings for the restaurant and all the buildings have been enhanced with accent plants that are not required by code.

The Code requires 40% of all plant material to be native species. The plan has exceeded the native planting requirements by providing a continuous native Sea Grape hedge on the north side of the property to buffer the proposed drive-through from the adjoining multi-family development. This hedge exceeds the plant material required and the additional native species will help to reduce the amount of water required to maintain the required landscaping. Further the height of the hedge is required to be 30 inches tall. The plan shows that hedge as 6 feet to provide the continuous buffer for the adjacent multi-family development.

FTL:2113628:3

Village Shoppes of Coconut Creek

Instead of 10-feet as required by code Section 13-443(10)a, the building is being provided with planting areas to the west, east and north of the building. The foundation planting area provided ranges from-of- approximately 1.5 to 3.5 feet in width. These planting areas will have drought-resistant ground cover and vegetation to complement the architectural design of the main building.

In order to adequately address the parking needs for the overall plaza uses, the restaurant also made some site-specific modifications to the landscape island, and end-cap island design. Landscape islands were reduced from the 12-foot-requirement under Section 13-443(12)a of the code. The smallest landscape or end-cap island is 7.3 feet, which is located at the easternmost row of parking spaces; however, in order to compensate for these landscape modifications, most of the end-cap islands were enlarged to fit improved, mature vegetation. The proposed restaurant site does not have more the twelve (12) parking spaces in a row without a landscape island.

The restaurant also designed the landscaping on its site to create a tiered effect where possible. If space was too limited, or if the site layout did not allow for the full-tiered effect, the landscaping elements were incorporated in separate, parallel planting sections as an alternative type of tier design that utilized vegetation to separate the drive-thru from the rows of parking spaces available to the plaza.

<u>Pursuant to Code Section 13-443</u>, at least 50 percent of all plant material shall consist of native species; the restaurant parcel will exceed the native vegetation for trees as well as shrubs and groundcover.

C. Signage Consistent with Approved Master Sign Plans and Appropriate City Sign Codes

A master sign plan is included <u>in with</u> this PCD and site plan applications. The proposed signage for the <u>bank and restaurant</u> is included in this master sign plan—<u>except for miscellaneous informational and directional signs which will be provided at a later date, pursuant to code requirements.</u>

D. Safe and Proper Access

The subject site is located adjacent to Wiles Road and SR 7. Access to the site is provided from both of these roadways. This site does not have direct access to any City streets. Therefore, the proposed uses will not have a negative impact on local streets in the area. Access to the site was determined at the time the property was platted. The <u>owner and</u> applicant <u>isare</u> utilizing, the existing platted openings for <u>sagesafe</u> and proper access to the site.

Comments were provided from the Development Review Committee on the original site plan submitted by the applicant related to internal vehicular and pedestrian circulation on the site. All of the requested changes have been made to improve vehicular circulation and safety on the site. The owner and applicant hashave also provided for safe pedestrian access to the site and within the site. Trellises have been added to the pedestrian access points to create gateways to the development and enhance the esthetics of the site design.

E. Consistent and Acceptable Esthetic and Architectural Design

In order to provide a consistent architectural theme throughout the development, the architectural design of the bank and the restaurant buildings include the same similar building materials and colors that are utilized inthroughout the main building Shopping Center. These elevations provide a distinctive architectural design that is simple and elegant with modern influences. With these characteristics, it will appeal to a wide spectrum of the community.

F. Nuisance Abatement (noise, odor, visual)

The proposed bank and restaurant drive through facilities are consistent and compatible with those existing uses in the area and they will not create excessive noise, glare, smoke, odor or other undesirable impacts that would be a nuisance to the community. The proposed uses shall comply will all nuisance abatement regulations of the City Code.

G. Proper Security Measures.

On-site security measures will be implemented to protect the patrons of these facilities. These measures include property lighting, access control devices, and inventory control devices that deter crime and reduce the need for public safety services on the site.

H. Public Safety Issues (lighting, flammability, hours of operation)

A complete lighting plan is included with the proposed site plan. The lighting plan meets the code requirements of <u>eitythe City</u> and also includes light shields along the entire perimeter of the site.

The bank and restaurant drive-throughsthrough facilities are not anticipated to utilize any flammable or hazardous materials other than those that would typically be associated with any of the permitted uses in the PCD.

A variety of retail and commercial uses exist in the surrounding area with similar functions and hours of operation as the proposed uses. Therefore, these uses will not disturb the operation of the other retailers in the area.

For these reasons, the proposed drive-throughsthrough facilities will not demand greater municipal public safety services than that resulting from any of the other uses permitted in the PCD or the surrounding area.

I. Proper location and stacking capability of any drive-through facility.

The bankrestaurant drive-throughsthrough facilities have undergone thorough site plan review and revisions have been made to the site plan as requested by the Planning and Engineering staffs in order to ensure that the drive-through isfacilities are properly located on the site and that there is adequate stacking capability for theeach facility.

FTL:2113628:3

Village Shoppes of Coconut Creek

SKE'

AND TITLE SURVEY

that a A-1, 8 and 8-1, or sylve plat, according to the plat behedt, as records of plat book The pack 82, of the public records of behinang county, fedical AND EXCEPT THE FOLLOWING DESCRIBED PARKETS, CONFICION TO SHOWARD COUNTRY OF THE WARRANTY OFCIO MICHON OFFICIAL RECORDS SHOW 42509, FARE 1872 OF THE PUBLIC RECORDS OF SHOWING COUNTRY, DA.

A POTEN OF TOLET 8—1, SPEAL FLAT, ACCORDING TO THE FLAT THORSOY, AS RECORDED IN FLAT BOOK STR. FACE OF THE PUBLIC RECORDS OF BECHAND COUNTY, REDUDIN, MORE PARTICULARLY DECEMBED AS TOLEDISE

NOTC: THE BEADWICE SHOWN HEIGH ARE BAZED ON AN ASSUMED BEADWIG THE EAST BOUNDARY OF SAID TRACT B-1 IS ASSUMED TO BEAR HONDY ORDERS WITHOUT. אים ראימפ גאאס זא נאכן מעל מב בסכסיים בוכסל האסיימים בסצאנגל עלסיביץ

PARCEL 1 AND PARCEL 2 ALSO BEING FULLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS: TACE IN, OF THE ALEXANDER—TOURD PLAT, ACCORDING TO THE PLAT THOSESE AS RECEIVED AN PLAT BOOK 164, NACE 10 OF SAID PUBLIC RECEIVES.

SAI LINES LYNE M SIE CITY OF COCCUUT DECOL BROWNING COUNTY, FLORIDA AND CONTURNO STATION SOLME TEXT (FLORY ACRES) MORE OF LIDE.

THE PROJECT INCOMED HOUSE IS SOURCE TO THE POLICIMENT. RETINE CRESTORS SHOWN OR WITED RELEASE ARE BUILD ON SPECIALE & STITUS 2 OF DA CHOUND THE USARIANE CREMENT CONTROLL TO BUILD OTHER CARREST SOUTHER, COMMINENT MUMBOR MY-MY-SOMMONIME CITETINE DATE SUPPLIEDED SA, 2004 € 11:00 P.M.

THE LAB RETWINING CONTINES, RECEIVANCE, L'ADILITÉ AND RIGH MATIDE CONTINENT ON 15, PAUT OF STALL PAUT ALCORET DON 15, PAUT 73 AND TITLA. RECORRE DON 15, PAUT 74 AND TITLA. RECORRE DON 15, PAUT 74 AND TITLA. RECORRE DON 15, PAUT 75 AND TITLA. RECORD TO THE TEXT PAUT 75 AND TITLA. THE TEXT PAUT 75 A

THE JOB CHANNESS, CONSIDER, CHEMICAS, LUCKERY, HE CHAN THE CENTRE CENTRED STREET, FAIL OF LUCKERS AND STREET, A REAL OF LUCKERS AND STREET, A RECEIPMENT OF LUCKERS AND STREET, A RECEIPMENT OF LUCKERS AND STREET, AND STREET DIA RE COOS ACCES, DAMACE MO VILLY L'ALADIT REDUÇO E CYTAL SECULOS SON CEÈS, PAC 68, DOI CUTAGLE CUTAGLE TOU PER CHE MAN AR EXPENSE RESPONSON L'ALADICE MO CHEC L'ALES C'ENTROI CHECHE DE ME PAU C'ENTROIC DE L'ALADICAN (TOTAL OF THE PARTAINS) OF PROMISE OF MAD UNIVEZ MESTICOS DE LIGITOS DE 1867 DE OFFICIA, RECURSOS SOCI L'AUSTONI DE TANTAS OF TALORIAL PORTOS AND LIGHT COMPANY TALO DECIDIOS IL, TOSI SE OFFICIA, RECURSOS TAL PART, (FIT), (CHAMPALLES PORTOS HOTOSA) NAME AND EXTERNAL BY THE CITY COMMISSION OF CHICARCT DELICE, RECORDED IN INTELL, ALCOHOL SECRET, BY AND EXTERNAL AND AND EXTERNAL PARK LINE, (NOT IN SECRET, AND EXCENDED ACCURATE ACCURATE ACCURATE ACCURATE ACCURATE ACCURATE ACCURATE A CONTRACT ACCURATE ACCURATE A. (NOT IN SECRET) ACCURATE A. (NOT IN SECRET) ACCURATE A. (NOT IN SECRET) A. (NOT IN SECRET) ACCURATE A. (NOT IN SECRET) A. (NOT IN SECRET) ACCURATE A. (NOT IN SECRET) ACCURATE A. (NOT IN SECRET) A. (NOT IN

SEE SHEET

 ω

FOR BOUNDARY AND LOCATION SURVEY FOR TOPOGRAPHIC AND TREE LOCATION SURVEY

) DE MOTION ROCKHIED PROFETIT IS LOCATED IN TLOCO ZONG TO, ACCEDENCE TO DIE STOCHAL CHARGONY MANCHANT ROCK PROMINE AND MANCHANT ROCK ROCK ROCKED PROMINE AND MANCHANT ROCKED PROMINE PROMIN ADMINISTRACE AND DISCOVERED SP-49-45 IS

) THE SUPPLY IN LIMITED TO THE LOCATION OF ABOVE CHOICE (LIMITACE) ONLY, LIMITECAND LIMITEC, WAS CHOROLOGICAL THE LOCATED OF BEIGHN HOUSE. THE SYMPLE RETLIETED IN THE LECTION AND ON THE SHIPPET WAS HAVE BEEN COLUMN. THE MACES HAVE RECH PROTECTED IN THE CHARTES AND THE CHARTES. AT THE CHARTES AND THE PROTECTION OF THE PRIMARY.

e) are address or parter 1 as 3521 km, 450; street, coo) Med fine last Attended to Educate has Annels freez for because on her sit consist a Hulden Language Anderson for December Benefies her Education and Africansains and Note Existed became report above colores. INT CHECK, PLONDA

T DE CLYCOMES SAPINI HOCKEN HAT THE PURPLY OF BODICHES HAT CLYCINGS AT THE POSITION HAS DEVELOPED THE CLYCINGS AND HAS

THE STILE COURT FORTY, PLANETS EDALÉDECE DETRICT, THE FOLLOWING RECTRICTIONS FOR THE CONT COCONNET COLLECTION IN SECTION 13-135 OF THE CONT OF COCONNET COLLECTION IN SECTION 13-135 OF THE CONT COCONNET RECLEARCH STORE COLLECTION IN SECTION 13-135 OF THE CONTRACT OF THE

A STRING OF HAT LISS THAN TRENT-THE (18) TITT BALL BE RECHIOD STREET, HAD HET HOUSE. STRINGEL ORTHER, ENTERIN BERNES AND OTHER AFFICIALS BUX CREEDING REGIONIONS DIVIL THE STREET OF HET HAD BUT THANK IN THE CASTRE SECULPMENT STRACKION REQUIRED BY SECTION THE STRACKION OF THE STREET OF THE SECULPMENT STRACKION REQUIRED BY SECTION THE STREET OF THE STREET OF THE SECULPMENT STRACKION REQUIRED BY SECTION MINION DEFINICION AND TARROS. ACTUALOS DE MOI LOSS TAMAS ROCKET-CITÉS (25) FILE DE ROCKET ABUTRINO PUBLICA ROCKE ROCKET-CO" - RIAN PORTINANS OF MOI LOSS TAMAS PROCEIT-CITÉS (25) FILE DE RECURRISTIVAÇÃO TO THE ROCKETANT OF A PORTINANS OF SACRA ROCKETANAS PART EL USEDO FOR PARROSE OU GRIEGI MONOCULAR LOSS ANACA EXCENT FOR

LOCATION SKETCH

(I) THIS PLAN OF SURVEY AND THE PROPERTY DESCRIPTIONS SHEET OF THE R PROPARTS FROM AN ACTUAL CHI-DIE-GROUNS SURVEY-OF THE R (I) THE SURVEY WAS EXPONENTED BY THE SURVEYER, OR WHICH THE UNDERSOID, RESERVANCE COMPANY IN TRACETORS IN METERS OF METERS IN METERS IN METERS IN METERS IN THE PROJECT COMPANY IN SERVICES AND METERS IN IK) ALL HOMAIDH'S SHOWN HOSON ASTVALLY CHST, AND THE H DOUGH BEE WE TAKE OF HYDRY THOODS ICAT WOLDELL BROWN HONGON (JULY JANUARY). LOUIN HONGON WAS MIND WONDOWN WHO

(IA) YIT LYMCOTZ BROWN ACCION, WIC CONDITIONS MICH CYCH GUICH THO HIC UTTO OU COURT CRRUIS BLUNCH ON THYROOD STICK LAND AND COURTS WELL CONDITIONS MICH CYCH CHOCK THO HIC UTTO DEEDY AS SHOWN HOREST, TACHE ARE (NO VISILE CHERNALS)
STRING (SHALDWARE, NEWSOY) LIBITATION, OCCIONAGENETY BY 1:
100 LIBOTATION OF THE CONTROL OF THE AREA OF MOREST, STRING AREA OF MOREST, AND THE AREA OF STRING AREA OF THE AREA OF TH

(II) EXCEPT AS SHOWN HEREIN. THE PROPERTY DOCKS HATT SERVE WAY ADJUMENT PROPERTIES FOR UNIVERSES, MORECULAS ON OTHER PROPERTIES. (M) PK SLT, IPCARG, AND PRY IS ALL BELTWICK, SERVICINES, AND DRUD REPOSITIONS AND ASSESSMENT ASS nd) addum i mores ma (date to mo frum the propert is provide by the tolesmen streets deedto prince andes-of-way umainado by the state of record state cold of and income unit, mals rook ון ברבודי גל קוביות וילוניות אימון געל או מיבוצ. נוולג אומיני מבניותים, מיבוצ "הדובייקים מיבוצ" וברבודים, מיבו מיבו נותנדים מי אים מיבידית אים אים איני איבוצ" וידודים מיבוצ מובניותים מיבוצ מיבוצים מיבוצים מיבוצים מיבוצים מיביצור מי אני זו מיבוציים אינים ביודובים מיבוצים, ידובים מיבוצים מיבוציים, איני מיבוצים מיבוצים איני אינים מיבוציות מינו זו מיבוצים מיבוצים מיבוצים מיבוצים מיבוצים מיבוצים ואל היבוצים מיבוצים ALL RECORDS LABOURS AND ENERS EXCEPTIONS, AS NOTICE IN THE COMMISSION FOR THIS PRIMARY RO--12- DOMENNAS, EXCEPT OF CHECKEN THIS RESIDENCE COMMISSION SUPPLIES SPECIALIST 28, 2008, MAY REDI-NECELY PLATED COME:

AND THE SHAPE, WE MADE IN ACCORDANCE WIN'S WARRAW STHOMED RETAL STOCKED FOR ALLIACEM AND THE SHAPE SHAPE WAS RECEIVED AND THE ACCORDANCE AND THE A

THE CONTROL OF STATE OF STATE

PROJECT NO. 03-0087

SHEET 1 OF

SPEAR/ALEXANDER YOUNG PLATS

) exely as ghown helen, helde all he waterconsist, or or matriae, anelys of which fley on the property, and to I pathware, downware, on deadware for which these are line, of exelvators

DIPOCE, ACCEPTANCE, POOLE, STREWS, OR THE PROPERTY USE OF THE PROPERTY LABORATION CARDINOTE, ON FOR CURRYNI,

PREPARED FOR: CHIANTI ASSOCIATES, LTD.

ALTA / ACSM LAND TITLE SURVEY

CRAVEN-THOMPSON AND ASSOCIATES, INC. ENGINEERS PLANNERS SURVEYORS 2503 N.W. 5395 STREET, FORT LAUGHDALE, FLENDA 12271 FAR (\$24) 738-6400 TEL: (\$24) 739-6400 PLENGS LIEDZES DANGERNE, REPUTING & MAPPING BYTHER MA. FO.

ا لـــــــا ارا				11 1 1
		,		
	200			
-	S.	A		
		10		
			2470	01-14-57
	\pm		0410 ·	02-14-57
			DAND	02-14-57 1"-40
			SCALC:	1"=40"
PERSE CONTRACT PER COMMENTS			SCALC: DRAWN BY:	1"=40" RT




EXHIBIT B - Location Map






Exhibit C - Future Land Use Plan Designation Map



City of
Coconut Creek
Future Land Use Map










Exhibit D - Zoning Designations Map



City of Coconut Creek 2016 Zoning Map








Linda Whitman, Senior Planner Swati Meshram, Senior Planner Updated: June 2016

Exhibit E - Local Areas of Particular Concern Map

Broward County LAPC's, ESL's, NRA's and Tree Resources








0 1 2 3 4 5 Miles

Exhibit F - Wellfield Protection Zone Map

BROWARD COUNTY WELL FIELD PROTECTION ZONES AND CONTAMINATED SITES







Exhibit G - Soils Map

BCLUP Natural Resource Map Series Soils










EXHIBIT I VILLAGE SHOPPES OF COCONUT CREEK PCD SPECIFIC DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS


Max. Building Area (sq. ft.) Max. Building height (feet) Max. Height of Nonhabitable Architectural Features (feet) Max. Height of Nonhabitable Architectural Features (feet) Max. Bidg. Coverage (sq. ft. building /sq. ft. site) Min. Bidg. Setbacks (feet) (17) From Wiles Road From SR7 From SR7 From Bast Property Line of PCD From North Property Line of PCD From Parcel A of the Alexander Young PCD Along Wiles Road Along Wiles Road Along Wiles Road Along Wiles Road Along SR7 From East Property Line of PCD From North Property Line of PCD From North Property Line of PCD Along Parcel A of the Alexander Young PCD Along Parcel A of Spaces Min. Width of Landscape Area Between Building and Parking (feet) Number of Loading Spaces Min. Width Sidewalk Adjacent to Building (feet) Min. Number of Stacking Lanes 1 Average Parking Ratio (spaces per sq. ft. of gross building area) Parking Space size (feet) Total Number of Outparcels Maximum Number of Outparcels (square feet) Minimum Size of Outparcels (square feet) Minimum Width of Outparcels (square feet) Minimum Width of Outparcels (feet) 70 Minimum Outparcel Building Setback from Outparcel Line (feet) Notes: (1) As measured from the interior line of the landscape buffer shown on the site plan (2) Including Greeneard continuous 6' high native hedge (3) Including Greeneard continuous 6' high native hedge (4) For all uses permitted in the PCD and based on the overall		
Max. Height of Nonhabitable Architectural Features (feet) Max. Floor Area Ratio (sq. ft. building /sq. ft. site) Max. Bldg. Coverage (sq. ft. building footprint/sq. ft. site) Min. Bldg. Setbacks (feet) From Wiles Road From SR7 From East Property Line of PCD From North Property Line of PCD From North Property Line of PCD From Parcel A of the Alexander Young PCD Along Wiles Road Along Wiles Road Along Wiles Road Along Wiles Road Along SR7 From East Property Line of PCD From North Property Line of PCD From North Property Line of PCD From North Property Line of PCD Along Parcel A of the Alexander Young PCD Min. Width of Landscape Area Between Building and Parking (feet) Number of Loading Spaces Minimum Size of Loading Spaces (feet) Average Parking Ratio (spaces per sq. ft. of gross building area) Parking Space size (feet) Total Number of Outparcels Maximum Number of Outparcels Per Street Frontage Minimum Size of Outparcels (square feet) Minimum Width of Outparcels (square feet) Minimum Width of Outparcels (square feet) Minimum Width of Outparcels (feet) Total Number of Outparcels (square feet) Minimum Width of Outparcels (square feet) Minimum Width of Outparcels (feet) 70 Minimum Width of Outparcels (feet) 70 Minimum Width of Outparcels (feet) 70 Minimum Outparcel Building Setback from Outparcel Line (feet) Notes: (1) As measured from the interior line of the landscape buffer shown on the site plan (2) Including Greenscreen	Max. Building Area (sq. ft.)	
Max. Floor Area Ratio (sq. ft. building /sq. ft. site) Max. Bldg. Coverage (sq. ft. building footprint/sq. ft. site) Min. Bldg. Setbacks (feet) From Wiles Road From SR7 From East Property Line of PCD From North Property Line of PCD From Parcel A of the Alexander Young PCD Along Wiles Road Along Wiles Road Along Wiles Road Along Wiles Road Along From North Property Line of PCD Along Parcel A of the Alexander Young PCD Along William Wildth Sidewalk Adjacent to Building (feet) Total Number of Stacking Lanes Average Parking Ratio (spaces per sq. ft. of gross building area) 1:235 ⁽⁴⁾ Parking Space size (feet) Total Number of Outparcels Average Parking Ratio (spaces Per Street Frontage Along Parking Space size (feet) Total Number of Outparcels (feet) Total Number of Outparcels (feet) Along Parking Parking Ratio (spaces Per Street Frontage Along Parking Parking Ratio (spaces Per Street Frontage Along Parking Parking Parking Parking Parking Park		50
Max. Floor Area Ratio (sq. ft. building /sq. ft. site) Max. Bldg. Coverage (sq. ft. building footprint/sq. ft. site) Min. Bldg. Setbacks (feet) From Wiles Road From SR7 From East Property Line of PCD From North Property Line of PCD From Parcel A of the Alexander Young PCD Along Wiles Road Along Wiles Road Along Wiles Road Along Wiles Road Along From North Property Line of PCD Along Parcel A of the Alexander Young PCD Along William Wildth Sidewalk Adjacent to Building (feet) Total Number of Stacking Lanes Average Parking Ratio (spaces per sq. ft. of gross building area) 1:235 ⁽⁴⁾ Parking Space size (feet) Total Number of Outparcels Average Parking Ratio (spaces Per Street Frontage Along Parking Space size (feet) Total Number of Outparcels (feet) Total Number of Outparcels (feet) Along Parking Parking Ratio (spaces Per Street Frontage Along Parking Parking Ratio (spaces Per Street Frontage Along Parking Parking Parking Parking Parking Park	Max. Height of Nonhabitable Architectural Features (feet)	60
Max. Bldg. Coverage (sq. ft. building footprint/sq. ft. site) Min. Bldg. Setbacks (feet) From Wiles Road 25 From SR7 25 From East Property Line of PCD 25 From North Property Line of PCD 25 From Parcel A of the Alexander Young PCD Min. PCD Perimeter Landscape Buffer (feet) Along Wiles Road 25 Along SR7 From East Property Line of PCD 5.5/20 From North Property Line of PCD 7.25 From East Property Line of PCD 8.5/20 From North Property Line of PCD 7.20 ⁽²⁾ Along Parcel A of the Alexander Young PCD 7.20 ⁽²⁾ Along Parcel A of the Alexander Young PCD 8.5/20 Min. Open Space (% of gross PCD area) Min. Width of Landscape Area Between Building and Parking (feet) 8.4 Minimum Size of Loading Spaces 4.5 Min. Width Sidewalk Adjacent to Building (feet) 8.5 Min. Number of Stacking Lanes 1.235 Min. Number of Stacking Lanes 1.235 Average Parking Ratio (spaces per sq. ft. of gross building area) Parking Space size (feet) 70 Minimum Dutparcels (square feet) Minimum Size of Outparcels (square feet) Minimum Width of Outparcels (square feet) Notes: (1) As measured from the interior line of the landscape buffer shown on the site plan (2) Including Greenscreen		0.3
Min. Bldg. Setbacks (feet) (1) From Wiles Road 25 From SR7 25 From East Property Line of PCD 25 From North Property Line of PCD 25 From Parcel A of the Alexander Young PCD 25 Min. PCD Perimeter Landscape Buffer (feet) Along Wiles Road 25 Along SR7 25 From East Property Line of PCD 5.5/20 From North Property Line of PCD 17-20 ⁽²⁾ Along Parcel A of the Alexander Young PCD 17-20 ⁽²⁾ Along Parcel A of the Alexander Young PCD 17-20 ⁽²⁾ Along Parcel A of the Alexander Young PCD 0-3 Min. Open Space (% of gross PCD area) 20 Min. Width of Landscape Area Between Building and Parking (feet) 4 ⁽³⁾ Number of Loading Spaces 4 Minmum Size of Loading Spaces (feet) 1 at 12x35 Min. Width Sidewalk Adjacent to Building (feet) 5 Min. Number of Stacking Lanes 1 Average Parking Ratio (spaces per sq. ft. of gross building area) 1:235 ⁽⁴⁾ Parking Space size (feet) 9918 ⁽⁵⁾ Total Number of Outparcels 2 Maximum Number of Outparcels (square feet) 21,000 Minimum Width of Outparcels (square feet) 70 Minimum Width of Outparcels (square feet) 21,000 Minimum Width of Outparcels (feet) 70 Minimum Outparcel Building Setback from Outparcel Line (feet) 25 Notes: (1) As measured from the interior line of the landscape buffer shown on the site plan (2) Including Greenscreen	Max. Bldg. Coverage (sq. ft. building footprint/sq. ft. site)	0.3
From Wiles Road From SR7 From East Property Line of PCD From North Property Line of PCD From North Property Line of PCD From North Property Line of PCD From Parcel A of the Alexander Young PCD Along Wiles Road Along Wiles Road Along SR7 From East Property Line of PCD From North Property Line of PCD From North Property Line of PCD Along Parcel A of the Alexander Young PCD Along Parcel Building Spaces (feet) Average Parking Ratio (spaces (feet) Total Number of Stacking Lanes Average Parking Ratio (spaces per sq. ft. of gross building area) 1:235 ⁽⁴⁷⁾ Parking Space size (feet) Total Number of Outparcels Average Parking Ratio (spaces Per Street Frontage Along Parcel Building Set Street Frontage Along Parcel A of Uniform Poutparcels (square feet) Along Parcel A of Uniform Poutparcel Building Set Back from Outparcel Line (feet)	Min. Bldg. Setbacks (feet) (1)	
From East Property Line of PCD From North Property Line of PCD From North Property Line of PCD From Parcel A of the Alexander Young PCD 25 Min. PCD Perimeter Landscape Buffer (feet) Along Wiles Road Along SR7 25 From East Property Line of PCD From North Property Line of PCD From North Property Line of PCD Along Parcel A of the Alexander Young PCD Along Parcel A of	From Wiles Road	25
From North Property Line of PCD From Parcel A of the Alexander Young PCD 25 Min. PCD Perimeter Landscape Buffer (feet) Along Wiles Road 25 Along SR7 25 From East Property Line of PCD 5.5/20 From North Property Line of PCD 17-20 ¹²⁷ Along Parcel A of the Alexander Young PCD 0-3 Min. Open Space (% of gross PCD area) 20 Min. Width of Landscape Area Between Building and Parking (feet) Number of Loading Spaces 4 Minimum Size of Loading Spaces (feet) 1 at 12x35 3 at 12x55 Min. Width Sidewalk Adjacent to Building (feet) 5 Min. Number of Stacking Lanes 1 Average Parking Ratio (spaces per sq. ft. of gross building area) 1:235 ⁽⁴⁷⁾ Parking Space size (feet) 70 Minimum Size of Outparcels Per Street Frontage 1 Minimum Size of Outparcels (square feet) 70 Minimum Width of Outparcels (feet) 70 Minimum Width of Outparcels (feet) 70 Minimum Width of Outparcels (feet) 70 Minimum Outparcel Building Setback from Outparcel Line (feet) 8 Notes: (1) As measured from the interior line of the landscape buffer shown on the site plan (2) Including fence and continuous 6' high native hedge (3) Including Greenscreen	From SR7	25
From North Property Line of PCD From Parcel A of the Alexander Young PCD 25 Min. PCD Perimeter Landscape Buffer (feet) Along Wiles Road 25 Along SR7 25 From East Property Line of PCD 5.5/20 From North Property Line of PCD 17-20 ⁽²⁾ Along Parcel A of the Alexander Young PCD 0-3 Min. Open Space (% of gross PCD area) 20 Min. Width of Landscape Area Between Building and Parking (feet) 4(3) Number of Loading Spaces 4 Minimum Size of Loading Spaces (feet) 1 at 12x35 3 at 12x55 Min. Width Sidewalk Adjacent to Building (feet) 5 Min. Number of Stacking Lanes 1 Average Parking Ratio (spaces per sq. ft. of gross building area) 1:235 ⁽⁴⁾ Parking Space size (feet) 9x18 ⁽⁵⁾ Total Number of Outparcels Maximum Number of Outparcels Per Street Frontage 1 Minimum Size of Outparcels (square feet) 70 Minimum Width of Outparcels (feet) 70 Minimum Width of Outparcels (feet) 70 Minimum Width of Outparcels (feet) 25 Notes: (1) As measured from the interior line of the landscape buffer shown on the site plan (2) Including fence and continuous 6' high native hedge (3) Including Greenscreen	From East Property Line of PCD	_ 25
From Parcel A of the Alexander Young PCD Min. PCD Perimeter Landscape Buffer (feet) Along Wiles Road Along SR7 From East Property Line of PCD From North Property Line of PCD Along Parcel A of the Alexander Young PCD Along Parcel A of the Alexander Young PCD Along Parcel A of the Alexander Young PCD Min. Open Space (% of gross PCD area) Min. Width of Landscape Area Between Building and Parking (feet) Number of Loading Spaces Min. Width Sidewalk Adjacent to Building (feet) Min. Number of Stacking Lanes Average Parking Ratio (spaces per sq. ft. of gross building area) Parking Space size (feet) Total Number of Outparcels Maximum Number of Outparcels Per Street Frontage Minimum Size of Outparcels (square feet) Minimum Size of Outparcels (square feet) Minimum Width of Outparcels (feet) Minimum Width of Outparcels (feet) Notes: (1) As measured from the interior line of the landscape buffer shown on the site plan (2) Including fence and continuous 6' high native hedge (3) Including Greenscreen		25
Min. PCD Perimeter Landscape Buffer (feet) Along Wiles Road Along SR7 From East Property Line of PCD From North Property Line of PCD Along Parcel A of the Alexander Young PCD Min. Open Space (% of gross PCD area) Min. Width of Landscape Area Between Building and Parking (feet) Number of Loading Spaces Minimum Size of Loading Spaces (feet) Min. Width Sidewalk Adjacent to Building (feet) Min. Width Sidewalk Adjacent to Building (feet) Min. Number of Stacking Lanes Average Parking Ratio (spaces per sq. ft. of gross building area) Parking Space size (feet) Total Number of Outparcels Aximum Number of Outparcels Per Street Frontage Minimum Size of Outparcels (square feet) Minimum Width of Outparcels (feet) Minimum Width of Outparcels (feet) To Minimum Outparcel Building Setback from Outparcel Line (feet) Notes: (1) As measured from the interior line of the landscape buffer shown on the site plan (2) Including Greenscreen	From Parcel A of the Alexander Young PCD	25
Along Wiles Road Along SR7 From East Property Line of PCD From North Property Line of PCD Along Parcel A of the Alexander Young PCD Along Parcel A of the Alexander Young PCD O-3 Min. Open Space (% of gross PCD area) 20 Min. Width of Landscape Area Between Building and Parking (feet) Aumber of Loading Spaces 4 Minimum Size of Loading Spaces (feet) 1 at 12x35 3 at 12x55 Min. Width Sidewalk Adjacent to Building (feet) 5 Min. Number of Stacking Lanes 1 Average Parking Ratio (spaces per sq. ft. of gross building area) 1:235 ⁽⁴⁾ Parking Space size (feet) 9x18 ⁽⁵⁾ Total Number of Outparcels 2 Maximum Number of Outparcels Per Street Frontage 1 Minimum Size of Outparcels (square feet) 21,000 Minimum Width of Outparcels (feet) 70 Minimum Outparcel Building Setback from Outparcel Line (feet) 85 Notes: (1) As measured from the interior line of the landscape buffer shown on the site plan (2) Including Greenscreen		
Along SR7 From East Property Line of PCD From North Property Line of PCD Along Parcel A of the Alexander Young PCD O-3 Min. Open Space (% of gross PCD area) O-3 Min. Width of Landscape Area Between Building and Parking (feet) Number of Loading Spaces Minimum Size of Loading Spaces (feet) Min. Width Sidewalk Adjacent to Building (feet) Min. Number of Stacking Lanes Average Parking Ratio (spaces per sq. ft. of gross building area) Parking Space size (feet) Total Number of Outparcels Minimum Size of Outparcels (square feet) Minimum Size of Outparcels (feet) Minimum Width of Outparcels (feet) Minimum Width of Outparcels (feet) Minimum Width of Outparcels (feet) Minimum Outparcel Building Setback from Outparcel Line (feet) Notes: (1) As measured from the interior line of the landscape buffer shown on the site plan (2) Including fence and continuous 6' high native hedge (3) Including Greenscreen		25
From North Property Line of PCD Along Parcel A of the Alexander Young PCD O-3 Min. Open Space (% of gross PCD area) Min. Width of Landscape Area Between Building and Parking (feet) Number of Loading Spaces Minimum Size of Loading Spaces (feet) Min. Width Sidewalk Adjacent to Building (feet) Min. Number of Stacking Lanes Average Parking Ratio (spaces per sq. ft. of gross building area) Parking Space size (feet) Total Number of Outparcels Maximum Number of Outparcels Per Street Frontage Minimum Size of Outparcels (square feet) Minimum Width of Outparcels (feet) Minimum Width of Outparcels (feet) Minimum Outparcel Building Setback from Outparcel Line (feet) Notes: (1) As measured from the interior line of the landscape buffer shown on the site plan (2) Including Greenscreen		25
From North Property Line of PCD Along Parcel A of the Alexander Young PCD O-3 Min. Open Space (% of gross PCD area) Min. Width of Landscape Area Between Building and Parking (feet) Number of Loading Spaces Minimum Size of Loading Spaces (feet) Min. Width Sidewalk Adjacent to Building (feet) Min. Number of Stacking Lanes Average Parking Ratio (spaces per sq. ft. of gross building area) Parking Space size (feet) Total Number of Outparcels Maximum Number of Outparcels Per Street Frontage Minimum Size of Outparcels (square feet) Minimum Width of Outparcels (feet) Minimum Width of Outparcels (feet) Notes: (1) As measured from the interior line of the landscape buffer shown on the site plan (2) Including Greenscreen	From East Property Line of PCD	5.5/20
Along Parcel A of the Alexander Young PCD Min. Open Space (% of gross PCD area) Min. Width of Landscape Area Between Building and Parking (feet) Number of Loading Spaces Minimum Size of Loading Spaces (feet) Min. Width Sidewalk Adjacent to Building (feet) Min. Number of Stacking Lanes Average Parking Ratio (spaces per sq. ft. of gross building area) Parking Space size (feet) Total Number of Outparcels Maximum Number of Outparcels Per Street Frontage Minimum Size of Outparcels (square feet) Minimum Width of Outparcels (feet) Notes: (1) As measured from the interior line of the landscape buffer shown on the site plan (2) Including Greenscreen		17-20 ⁽²⁾
Min. Open Space (% of gross PCD area) Min. Width of Landscape Area Between Building and Parking (feet) Number of Loading Spaces Minimum Size of Loading Spaces (feet) Min. Width Sidewalk Adjacent to Building (feet) Min. Number of Stacking Lanes Average Parking Ratio (spaces per sq. ft. of gross building area) Parking Space size (feet) Total Number of Outparcels Minimum Number of Outparcels Per Street Frontage Minimum Size of Outparcels (square feet) Minimum Width of Outparcels (feet) Notes: (1) As measured from the interior line of the landscape buffer shown on the site plan (2) Including Greenscreen		0-3
Min. Width of Landscape Area Between Building and Parking (feet) Number of Loading Spaces Minimum Size of Loading Spaces (feet) 1 at 12x35 3 at 12x55 Min. Width Sidewalk Adjacent to Building (feet) 5 Min. Number of Stacking Lanes 1 Average Parking Ratio (spaces per sq. ft. of gross building area) 1:235(4) Parking Space size (feet) 9x18(5) Total Number of Outparcels 2 Maximum Number of Outparcels Per Street Frontage 1 Minimum Size of Outparcels (square feet) 21,000 Minimum Width of Outparcels (feet) 70 Minimum Outparcel Building Setback from Outparcel Line (feet) 25 Notes: (1) As measured from the interior line of the landscape buffer shown on the site plan (2) Including Greenscreen		20
Number of Loading Spaces Minimum Size of Loading Spaces (feet) 1 at 12x35 3 at 12x55 Min. Width Sidewalk Adjacent to Building (feet) 5 Min. Number of Stacking Lanes 1 Average Parking Ratio (spaces per sq. ft. of gross building area) 1:235 ⁽⁴⁾ Parking Space size (feet) 9x18 ⁽⁵⁾ Total Number of Outparcels 2 Maximum Number of Outparcels Per Street Frontage 1 Minimum Size of Outparcels (square feet) 21,000 Minimum Width of Outparcels (feet) 70 Minimum Outparcel Building Setback from Outparcel Line (feet) 25 Notes: (1) As measured from the interior line of the landscape buffer shown on the site plan (2) Including fence and continuous 6' high native hedge (3) Including Greenscreen		4 ⁽³⁾
Minimum Size of Loading Spaces (feet) Min. Width Sidewalk Adjacent to Building (feet) Min. Number of Stacking Lanes Average Parking Ratio (spaces per sq. ft. of gross building area) Parking Space size (feet) Total Number of Outparcels Maximum Number of Outparcels Per Street Frontage Minimum Size of Outparcels (square feet) Minimum Width of Outparcels (feet) Minimum Outparcel Building Setback from Outparcel Line (feet) Notes: (1) As measured from the interior line of the landscape buffer shown on the site plan (2) Including Greenscreen		4
Min. Width Sidewalk Adjacent to Building (feet) Min. Number of Stacking Lanes Average Parking Ratio (spaces per sq. ft. of gross building area) Parking Space size (feet) Total Number of Outparcels Maximum Number of Outparcels Per Street Frontage Minimum Size of Outparcels (square feet) Minimum Width of Outparcels (feet) Minimum Outparcel Building Setback from Outparcel Line (feet) Notes: (1) As measured from the interior line of the landscape buffer shown on the site plan (2) Including Greenscreen		1 at 12x35
Min. Number of Stacking Lanes Average Parking Ratio (spaces per sq. ft. of gross building area) Parking Space size (feet) Total Number of Outparcels Maximum Number of Outparcels Per Street Frontage Minimum Size of Outparcels (square feet) Minimum Width of Outparcels (feet) Minimum Outparcel Building Setback from Outparcel Line (feet) Notes: (1) As measured from the interior line of the landscape buffer shown on the site plan (2) Including Greenscreen		3 at 12x55
Min. Number of Stacking Lanes Average Parking Ratio (spaces per sq. ft. of gross building area) Parking Space size (feet) Total Number of Outparcels Maximum Number of Outparcels Per Street Frontage Minimum Size of Outparcels (square feet) Minimum Width of Outparcels (feet) Minimum Outparcel Building Setback from Outparcel Line (feet) Notes: (1) As measured from the interior line of the landscape buffer shown on the site plan (2) Including Greenscreen	Min. Width Sidewalk Adjacent to Building (feet)	5
Parking Space size (feet) 9x18 ⁽⁵⁾ Total Number of Outparcels 2 Maximum Number of Outparcels Per Street Frontage 1 Minimum Size of Outparcels (square feet) 21,000 Minimum Width of Outparcels (feet) 70 Minimum Outparcel Building Setback from Outparcel Line (feet) 25 Notes: (1) As measured from the interior line of the landscape buffer shown on the site plan (2) Including fence and continuous 6' high native hedge (3) Including Greenscreen		
Parking Space size (feet) Total Number of Outparcels Maximum Number of Outparcels Per Street Frontage Minimum Size of Outparcels (square feet) Minimum Width of Outparcels (feet) Minimum Outparcel Building Setback from Outparcel Line (feet) Notes: (1) As measured from the interior line of the landscape buffer shown on the site plan (2) Including fence and continuous 6' high native hedge (3) Including Greenscreen	Average Parking Ratio (spaces per sq. ft. of gross building area)	
Maximum Number of Outparcels Per Street Frontage 1 Minimum Size of Outparcels (square feet) 21,000 Minimum Width of Outparcels (feet) 70 Minimum Outparcel Building Setback from Outparcel Line (feet) 25 Notes: (1) As measured from the interior line of the landscape buffer shown on the site plan (2) Including fence and continuous 6' high native hedge (3) Including Greenscreen		9x18 ⁽⁵⁾
Minimum Size of Outparcels (square feet) Minimum Width of Outparcels (feet) Minimum Outparcel Building Setback from Outparcel Line (feet) Notes: (1) As measured from the interior line of the landscape buffer shown on the site plan (2) Including fence and continuous 6' high native hedge (3) Including Greenscreen	Total Number of Outparcels	2
Minimum Size of Outparcels (square feet) Minimum Width of Outparcels (feet) Minimum Outparcel Building Setback from Outparcel Line (feet) Notes: (1) As measured from the interior line of the landscape buffer shown on the site plan (2) Including fence and continuous 6' high native hedge (3) Including Greenscreen	Maximum Number of Outparcels Per Street Frontage	1
Minimum Width of Outparcels (feet) Minimum Outparcel Building Setback from Outparcel Line (feet) Notes: (1) As measured from the interior line of the landscape buffer shown on the site plan (2) Including fence and continuous 6' high native hedge (3) Including Greenscreen	Minimum Size of Outparcels (square feet)	21,000
Notes: (1) As measured from the interior line of the landscape buffer shown on the site plan (2) Including fence and continuous 6' high native hedge (3) Including Greenscreen	Minimum Width of Outparcels (feet)	70
Notes: (1) As measured from the interior line of the landscape buffer shown on the site plan (2) Including fence and continuous 6' high native hedge (3) Including Greenscreen		25
shown on the site plan (2) Including fence and continuous 6' high native hedge (3) Including Greenscreen		
shown on the site plan (2) Including fence and continuous 6' high native hedge (3) Including Greenscreen	(1) As measured from the interior line of the landscape buffer	
(3) Including Greenscreen	shown on the site plan	
(4) For all uses permitted in the PCD and based on the overall		
parking plan		
(5) Including 2' overhang	(5) Including 2' overhang	













 $2^{\overline{\text{ELEVATION}} - \text{SOUTH (WILES RD FRONTAGE)}}$

ST-1

GENERAL NOTES

80.1

2

MTL-1

5

1. ROOF DRAINS AT LOW-SLOPE ROOF ARE INTERNAL

SHEET SPECIFIC KEY NOTES

Chick-fie-&

INTEGRAL GUTTER - TYP AT TOWERS.
POSITION DOWNSPOUTS AS SHOWN AT BACK OF TOWERS & PAINT TO MATCH STUCCO TO MINIMIZE VISIBILITY, TYP.
OPAQUE GLASS


HIGH ALBEDO ROOF CALCULATION ALL ITEMS BELOW ARE PER USGBC LEED REQUIREMENTS FOR HIGHALBEDO ROOF.

USE WEIGHTED ROOFTOP SRI CALCULATION. STEEP-SLOPED ROOF MINIMUM SRI: 29 STEEP-SLOPED ROOF ACTUAL SRI: 24 LOW-SLOPED ROOF MINIMUM SRI: 78 LOW-SLOPED ROOF ACTUAL SRI: 84


AREA ROOFING MEETING MINIMUM SRI
TOTAL ROOF AREA SRI OF INSTALLED ROOF REQUIRED SRI

75%

82%, SO ENTIRE ROOF MEETS DEFINITION OF HIGH ALBEDO ROOF



T.O. SHORT TOWER WALLS 24'-0"



MTL-1

802

ELEVATION - EAST

ST-1

SC-2 <u>5</u> 5

SC-1

ELEVATION - WEST (441 FRONTAGE)

SC-2



- MTE

REFER TO DIGITAL SIGNATURE AFFIDAVIT

MARK

COHEN CARNAGGIO REYNOLDS ARCHITECTURE.INTERIORS.DESIGN

2920 FIRST AVENUE SOUTH BIRMINGHAM, ALABAMA 35233 CCRARCHITECTS.NET 205 - 324 - 8864 P 205-324-8496 F

P12 LS MEDIUM CUSTOM FSU# 3841

SHEET TITLE
FLOOR PLAN,
ELEVATIONS AND
OUT BUILDINGS

'ERSION: P12 V2 18.03 3841 04/30/18 16119A

Checked Drawn By: A-1.0 JJB TSB

9 STORAGE BUILDING - 3D