EXHIBIT "A"

City of Coconut Creek Ballot Questions for November 3, 2020 General Election

1.	Scheduling of sea	ating of commis	sioners and exp	piration of terms	of office

Shall the Charter be revised to require swearing in and seating of new commission at the second meeting in March to allow time for certification of election results be Broward County Supervisor of Elections and clarify that expiration of commiss terms is upon swearing in of their successor? Currently, the Charter is silent of expiration of commissioner terms.		ch to allow time for certification of election results by the of Elections and clarify that expiration of commissioner their successor? Currently, the Charter is silent on the
	YES □	NO□
2. Term limits for City Commissioners		issioners
	three consecutive elected ter November 2020? This provid	ed to limit the election of any individual to no more than rms (partial or full terms) for commissioners elected after es that a person may run again only after having at least irrently, there are no term limits.
	YES □	NO□
3. Timing and selection of Mayor and Vice-Mayor, and designation of tempor chairperson		ayor and Vice-Mayor, and designation of temporary
	Shall the Charter be amended to move the timing of the Commission's selection Mayor and Vice-Mayor to coincide with the swearing in of commissioners aft election, and allow them to serve in those capacities for up to, but no more than, two consecutive one-year terms, and to designate a temporary chairperson to run commission meeting where both the Mayor and Vice-Mayor are absent? Currently the limit is one, one-year term.	
	YES □	NO□
4. Clarification of City Commission voting requirements		ission voting requirements
	Commission to take any act	nded to require three affirmative votes by the City ion and if less than three commissioners are available ostention, then those available commissioners must vote

unanimously? The Charter currently does not address these situations and courts have determined that specific information for these situations should be provided.

NO

YES □

5. Clarification of duties and authority of the City Commission

Shall the Charter be amended to clarify the Commission's duty to authorize salaries
and travel expenses for commissioners and employees hired by the Commission,
clarify its duty to excuse the absence of a commissioner at a duly noticed Commission
Meeting and to clarify that the Commission shall have no authority to appoint or
remove someone appointed by the City Attorney? The current language is not clear
and creates conflict with other provisions of the Charter.

YES □	$NO\square$
-------	-------------

6. Process for filling a vacant Commission seat

Shall the Charter be amended to provide that the Commission may choose to fill a commission seat vacancy at an election, instead of by appointment, if an election is already scheduled to occur within 180 days of the vacancy? Currently the Charter requires appointment by the Commission within 30 days, even if there is an election already scheduled within 180 days that could operate to fill the vacancy.

YES □	NO□
YES 🗆	NOL

7. Make Charter consistent with state laws and county requirements

Shall the City Charter be amended to provide consistency with state laws and county procedures, including the deletion of sections that are superseded by state law? Currently, the City's Charter provides language that duplicates or is inconsistent with state laws and county requirements regarding public notice, duties of the Mayor, designation of the 'capital program', which should be the 'capital improvement program', scheduling of and procedures for elections.

YES □	NO□
-------	-----

8. Emergency ordinances and requirements for Commissioners to be physically present to participate and vote

Shall the City Charter be amended to provide that emergency ordinances expire as provided by state law and delete requirement that commissioners be physically present to be considered in attendance or vote? Currently, the Charter provides that emergency ordinances expire 61 days after adoption which is inconsistent with state law. It also requires the physical presence of commissioners in order to participate or vote on any item which creates issues in emergency situations.

YES	NO□

9. Limitations imposed on selection of independent auditor

Shall the Charter be amended to allow an auditing firm to serve as auditor to the City for consecutive terms, provided that in order to serve the City for more than five

		oust provide a new lead auditor who has not worked on us five-year period? Currently, the Charter prohibits an after serving for five years.
	YES □	NO□
10.	Clarification of actions requ	uiring an ordinance
	years and for acquisition of requirement that administrat extending beyond the fiscal including short term leases,	ed to require an ordinance for leases greater than ten fee simple real property interests and to delete the ive and technical regulations, and contracts or leases year be approved by ordinance? Currently, all leases, all property interest acquisitions including easements, cal regulations and agreements extending beyond the ice.
	YES □	NO□
11.	City Manager residency and	d removal procedures
	reside within the City of Coco by a majority of the City Com	ended to remove the requirement that a City Manager nut Creek unless residency outside the City is approved amission, and delete procedures for removal of the City ed by state law and employment contract?
	YES □	NO□
12.	Amendment and clarification City Manager	on of procedure for designation of short term acting
	to file a designation of an actemporary absence or disable designation directly to the Co	d to remove the formal requirement for the City Manager ting manager with the City Clerk in the event of his/her bility and requiring the Manager instead to send the mmission; and amended to give the Commission limited Manager's acting designee, if the Manager's absence
	YES □	NO□
13.	Amendment and clarificati	on of duties of the City Clerk and Deputy City Clerk
	duties of City Clerk, if need administration of oaths to enforcement, update public re	d to provide authority for Deputy City Clerk(s) to perform led and to revise the City Clerk's duties to authorize commission, boards, committees and sworn law ecords procedures consistent with state law and current nunicipal elections? Currently, the Charter lacks clarity
	YES □	NO□

14. Organization of boards and committees

Shall the Charter be amended to eliminate conflict and duplication in the appointment procedures and residency qualifications for board and committee members? Currently, the Charter provides only partial appointment procedures, which are provided in detail in the City Code of Ordinances, and creates conflicts when applied to the Charter Review and Redistricting Boards.

	to the Charter Review and Redistricting Boards.		
	YES □	NO□	
15.	Lapse of appropriations		
	abandoned if five years p appropriation? Currently, the	ded to provide that appropriations shall be deemed ass without disbursement or encumbrance of the Charter requires abandonment of appropriations within or encumbered, which reduces the effectiveness of long	
	YES □	NO□	
16.	Initiative, referendum and r	ecall	
	Shall the Charter be amended to clarify procedures to: initiate or repeal ordinance by vote of the City's voters; require that petitions to initiate such an election be signe by five percent of the registered voters as of the preceding election instead of the preceding municipal election; and require that actions approved through such process remain intact for five years? Currently, procedures are confusing and require referendum to amend an ordinance approved by referendum.		
	YES □	NO□	
17.	Charter Review Board		
	Shall the Charter be amended to require review of the City Charter every ten year beginning in April 2030, with recommended changes presented to the voters at the next general, special or municipal election? Currently, the Charter is reviewed ever five years with changes presented to the voters only at the following general of municipal election.		
	YES □	NO□	

18. Redistricting Board

Shall the Charter be amended to convene the Redistricting Board in July 2026, July 2032, and every ten years thereafter; and provide the Redistricting Board 120 days to conduct their evaluation? Currently, the Redistricting Board has only 90 days to conduct an evaluation, and redistricting evaluations are required every five years, but are not needed as frequently based on the built-out nature of the City.

VEC 🗆	NO
YES □	NO